



Akademik Eğitim ve Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi (AJESS Journal)

Humanitarian Media in the Age of Interactive Platforms: A Critical and Forward-Looking Analysis of Media Practice transformation and social responsibility

Houcine Naili, University of Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria
houcine.naili@univ-oeb.dz

Fadhloune Amel, University of Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria, fadhloune.amel@univ-oeb.dz

Article History

Received : 31/07/2026; Accepted: 22/09/2026; Published: 10/10/2026

Abstract:

In the era of interactive digital platforms, humanitarian media faces unprecedented ethical and professional challenges. This paper critically examines the evolving role of humanitarian journalism in conveying human suffering, ensuring accountability, and influencing policy, while navigating the pressures of algorithmic attention, digital immediacy, and donor-driven agendas. It highlights the tension between traditional ethical principles- such as human dignity, privacy, and impartiality- and the performative imperatives of contemporary media. By analyzing the interplay of funding mechanisms, technological affordances, and narrative strategies, the study argues for a shift from reactive reporting to explanatory, context-sensitive storytelling that empowers affected communities, humanizes statistics, and fosters sustained public engagement. The paper concludes with forward-looking recommendations for reinforcing social responsibility, promoting ethical practices, and enhancing professional autonomy in the digital humanitarian landscape.

Keywords: Humanitarian media; digital platforms; interactive journalism; ethical challenges; social responsibility.

Introduction

The contemporary relevance of humanitarian media resides in its function as a final bastion of human values in a world increasingly dominated by imperative materialism and digital speed, we are living through an age characterized by protracted and overlapping crisis, armed conflicts, climate disasters, pandemics, mass displacements and life-threatening famines. Amid this cacophony, humanitarian media functions serve to humanize statistical abstractions, transforming mute numbers into ethically resonant narratives that engage collective conscience and prevent societies from becoming desensitized to scenes of human suffering and destruction. It is also an era defined by interactive platforms rife, where misinformation and fake news spread with unprecedented speed, humanitarian media assumes renewed importance as an ethical and documentary anchor grounded in verification, field- based investigation and narrative integrity, it provides authentic accounts of human suffering, insulated and shielded away from political distortion and narrow agendas. Although interactive platforms have rendered the world a single village, humanitarian media has the potential to transforms it into a community of solidarity, as a primary driver for raising awareness, philanthropic mobilization, international advocacy, and sustained pressure on decision-makers. Absent credible and rigorous humanitarian journalism, just causes risk of fading into neglect and oblivion. In a digital ecosystem where algorithms tend to prioritize entertaining or controversial content, humanitarian media assumes a corrective function by foregrounding marginalized groups -refugees, minorities and the economically vulnerable- thereby ensuring that their causes remain embedded within the global agendas and public attention. Humanitarian media no longer confines itself to documenting suffering, it has also encompassed a substantive watchdog function, entailing systematic monitoring of international organizations and rigorous assessment of whether assistance effectively reaches its rightful beneficiaries. In doing so, it reinforces the concept of transparency and humanitarian accountability. Yet, notwithstanding the unprecedented opportunities afforded by interactive platforms to shed light on humanitarian issues and amplify marginalized voices, the contemporary digital ecosystem- governed by attention-maximizing algorithms and accelerated cycles of dissemination- has precipitated profound changes in media practice. There exists a growing tension between the foundational ethical commitments of humanitarian journalism -such as the right to privacy and the preservation of human dignity- and the performative engagement-driven imperatives imposed by platforms environment. This junction has contributed to the erosion of social

responsibility and most notably the rise of troubling trends, including the commodification of suffering. Against this backdrop the present research seeks to investigate how professional ethical standards can be recalibrated to address the demands of interactive digital environments while preserving the normative core of humanitarian media.

Contexts Underpinning the Emergence of Humanitarian Media

The growing demand for humanitarian media represents a natural outcome of the profound political, social, and technological transformations that defines the contemporary global landscape. Humanitarian crisis triggered by armed conflicts, natural disasters, forced displacement, structural social vulnerability, alongside an expansion in communication spaces and information flows have reached an unprecedented scale. This dual dynamic has generated heightened awareness that media should function not merely as a channel for reporting news, but also as a platform for amplifying the visibility of human suffering, mobilizing transnational solidarity and fostering a culture for social responsibility. Simultaneously, interactive digital platforms have accelerated the circulation of crisis-related images and narratives, thereby making it imperative to adopt a professional and ethically grounded media discourse that takes human dignity into high consideration and carefully balances the public's right to knowledge with respect for privacy of affected populations. Within this framework, humanitarian media has crystalized as a distinct communicative approach tailored to respond to the current exigencies grounded in ethical principles, informed by an awareness-raising mission and committed to the developmental role of media in engaging humanitarian issues and directing public opinion toward responsible and constructive action.

Dimensions and Objectives of Humanitarian Media

The intersection of media and the human context can be understood through three interrelated dimensions. The first dimension comprises the material manifestation of media, which include symbolic systems conveyed via textual, visual, and auditory modes, exemplified by illustrated newspapers, posters, and cinematic works. The second dimension pertains to the producing organizations, which include the entities responsible for the creation of these media form, such as humanitarian agencies themselves, alongside publishing and marketing firms. The third dimension involves the institutional frameworks that regulates media practices, encompassing the legal and ethical and normative regulations that govern the production, dissemination, and reception of media content (Paulmann, 2022, p.2).

The interplay between media and humanitarian action is both intrinsic and historically grounded. As the contemporary international disaster relief is inconceivable without the critical function of media coverage. The Ethiopian famine of 1984-1985 marked a turning point, demonstrating that the global public engagement and donor mobilization are profoundly influenced by the images broadcast on television and circulated through print media (Chouliraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.25). Humanitarian journalism, in this context, constitutes a distinct journalistic practice that prioritizes the documentation of human suffering, the alleviation of crises, and advocating for the protection of fundamental human rights. It conveys accounts of natural disasters, armed conflicts, and famines with the explicit intent of galvanizing international awareness and prompting timely humanitarian responses (Bunce et al., 2019, p.5).

Humanitarian action has increasingly become subject to the logic of mediatization, as non-governmental organizations strategically seek media visibility to boost donations- an imperative that may, in turn, influence their developmental strategies and educational missions. While some scholars posit that the media exercises a guiding influence over these organizations, (Paulmann, 2022, pp.7-8) others, including Lutz Mock, argue that humanitarian actors themselves direct journalists by providing logistical support and curated information, a practice that may create a quasi-monopoly over the dissemination of knowledge (Paulmann, 2022, pp.9-10).

Humanitarian journalism refers to news coverage that foregrounds crises with direct implications for human welfare and survival. This form of reporting is distinguished by its ethical orientation, which strives to illuminate overlooked emergencies (Bunce et al., 2019, p.3), humanize victims by giving them a voice on the global media stage (Bunce et al., 2019, p.11), and hold political actors and relief organizations accountable for the effectiveness and impact of their interventions by placing human dignity at the center of its narratives, humanitarian journalism not only informs but also mobilizes international attention and response (Bunce et al., 2019, p.16).

Media represents a fundamental component of humanitarian action, having been integrated to its practice since organizations first extended its operations across borders and over long distances, they play a pivotal role in conveying information about human suffering and in shaping public agendas, which is crucial for understanding the motivations underpinning aid provision. By bearing witness to unfolding crisis, amplifying the concerns of those seeking assistance, framing the experiences of affected populations, and highlighting the charitable actions of others, media does not simply report facts but also engage emotions and stimulate public discourse- ultimately catalyzing concrete relief efforts for those in need (Paulmann, 2022, p.1).

Humanitarian media goes beyond merely reporting facts and covering events; they actively construct narratives in ways that shape both public sentiments and policy decisions. Withing the empathizing framework that emphasizes the pure human dimension, foregrounding the suffering of victims-particularly women and children- and thereby generating moral pressure on governments to take action and intervene. Conversely, the critical distance framework adopts a more evaluative posture, scrutinizing governmental responses highlighting operational failures or potential risks, which can temper public and political enthusiasm for involvement. By strategically framing crisis, humanitarian media influence not only perception but also the practical calculus of intervention (Journiette & Olsson, 2007, p.10).

Humanitarian Media, Disasters, and Crises

The nexus between humanitarian media and disasters or crises represents the social and ethical responsibilities inherent to journalistic practice. In such high-stakes contexts, the imperative to convey human suffering and elucidate its multifaceted dimensions is intensified, particularly under conditions of complexity and temporal urgency. During natural disasters, conflicts and humanitarian emergencies, media act as pivotal agents in communicating the magnitude of tragedy, mobilizing solidarity, and directing international attention toward affected population, thereby facilitating the allocation of resource mobilization and the coordination of relief efforts. Yet this role extends beyond mere reporting of immediate events; it requires a responsible editorial approach that balances the urgency of coverage with analytical depth, ensuring that victim's dignity is preserved and avoiding to be reduced to stereotypical representations or purely emotive imagery.

In the context of escalating, multifaced crises- where political, economic, and environmental dimensions are increasing- humanitarian media are compelled to move beyond event-centered episodic reporting and adopt a more nuanced analytical frameworks that interrogate the underlying conditions producing vulnerability and exacerbate the impacts of disasters. The proliferation of interactive platforms and the instantaneous circulation of visual content have amplified the public visibility of such issues, yet they simultaneously pose profound ethical and professional challenges concerning information accuracy, respect for privacy, and the delicate balance between the public's right to know and the rights of affected communities. As such, understanding the relationship between humanitarian media and disasters or crises is essential for apprehending the complex roles media perform during emergencies, as well as the boundaries of their influence in shaping public awareness and guiding societal and humanitarian responses.

In academic discourse, disasters are understood as events that exceed the adaptive capacity of local communities, necessitating external intervention. Yet a disaster attains international prominence only when it is transformed into a media event. This transformation occurs through a dual process: first, the construction of the scene, whereby media selectively elevate certain events to global crisis while neglecting others that may be more severe but lack visual appeal or proximity-geographic or cultural- to western audiences(Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.25); and second, the framing of human suffering, as disasters, despite the democratizing potential attributed to digital media, remain largely interpreted through conventional cultural lenses, within these frameworks, victims are frequently depicted as voiceless, stripped of agency and moral capacity, a portrayal that reinforces the archetype of the western savior(Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.17).

In humanitarian crises, media assume multiple, interrelated roles that are central to the management and perception of emergencies, as agenda-setting agents- their most consequential function-media have the power to transform a neglected or distant crisis into a matter of global public concern, media serve to compress response times; live coverage exerts immediate pressure on authorities to make prompt decisions, often precluding deliberation or extended consideration alternatives. Conversely, media can act as an impediment: rather than promoting humanitarian intervention, coverage may inhibit its suspension. For instance, the broadcast of images depicting American soldier casualties in Somalia triggered domestic pressure for immediate withdrawal, thereby undermining the humanitarian mission (Journiette & Olsson, 2007, p.5). Media also perform a gatekeeping role, selectively emphasizing certain crises for attention while neglecting others entirely (Orao, 2024, p. 6), and a mobilization role, wherein compelling images and reports stimulate and galvanize both individual donations and rapid governmental action. By simultaneously shaping perception, urgency, and resource flows, media are both instruments and actors in the dynamics of humanitarian response (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, pp.7-8).

Humanitarian Media and the Power of Funding

Financial considerations constitute a central driver that influence the practices of humanitarian media, as the coverage is often contingent upon funding from institutions and donor organizations, generating a nuanced tension between professional autonomy and financial constraints. In their pursuit of sustained resources, humanitarian organizations may be compelled to tailor media narratives to align with donor expectations rather than prioritizing the actual needs of affected communities. The dynamic can result in crises to visually striking imagery or narrowly defined statistics, often at the expense of broader contextual and political considerations. Likewise, humanitarian journalists may experience indirect pressures that subtly shift their role from critical observers to intermediaries propagating organizational narratives, highlighting the inherently fraught, symbiotic relationship between media actors and funding bodies, and underscoring the imperative to reconcile professional autonomy with the practical demands of financial sustainability.

On this basis, the future of humanitarian media is envisioned in light of the influence of funding structures, positioning the domain as one that demands rigorous ethical scrutiny. Such scrutiny is essential to uphold discourse transparency, safeguarding the credibility of information, and strengthen the autonomy of humanitarian narratives. This endeavor also requires the development of instruments and operational mechanisms capable of reconciling the pressure exerted by financial stakeholders with ethical obligations incumbent upon media practitioners. Crucial to this balancing act is the prioritization of amplifying the visibility and rights of affected populations, rather than subordinating these objectives to merely enhancing the organizational reputation before donors or to conform with market-driven media exigencies.

Trends in humanitarian funding: persistent or so-called forgotten crises- those unfolding over prolonged periods, such as conflicts in the central African region or Chronic drought conditions- frequently fail to attract sustained media attention. Empirical research pointed out that media coverage disproportionately tends to favor sudden-onset disasters, such as earthquakes, over slow-onset crises like famine, this selective visibility generates a structural imbalance in the distribution

of humanitarian aid, privileging events with immediate, dramatic impact over protracted crises that, despite their severity, remain largely underreported, such tendencies raise critical questions about the ethical and operational implications of media-driven funding priorities in humanitarian interventions (Orao, 2024, pp. 16-17).

With the advent of social media and interactive digital platforms, the power to shape humanitarian agendas is no longer confined to traditional media organizations, digital humanitarianism and online activists now enable crisis to be highlighted more rapidly and, in some cases, with enhanced accuracy, effectively reshaping the dynamics through which humanitarian appeals reach potential donors (Orao, 2024, pp. 10-11).

Humanitarian funding, however, is not solely determined by objectively assessed, field-determined needs. The global humanitarian system remains heavily reliant on governmental contributions, which are frequently subject to political and economic pressures. This dynamic perpetuates a persistent gap between needs identified by the United Nations and the actual allocation of resources. Funding is often channeled toward crisis that align with the foreign policy interests of major powers, leaving strategically peripheral regions underfunded (Orao, 2024, p. 45). In this context, media coverage acts as a “force multiplier”, amplifying the visibility of crisis that already serve donor agendas while failing to bridge the funding gap for crisis that lack either visual appeal or strategic significance (Orao, 2024, p. 22). Such patterns raise crucial ethical questions regarding the role of media in influencing the prioritization of humanitarian aid and highlight the need for mechanisms that ensure more equitable and needs-driven resource allocation in both traditional and humanitarian spheres.

Funding and the Crisis of Editorial Independence in Humanitarian News Reporting:

The commercial media crisis: International humanitarian coverage is inherently expensive, requiring substantial expenditure on travel, insurance, and complex logistics. With the sustained decline in advertising revenues, traditional media outlets are no longer able to sustain these financial burdens leading to significant contraction in the number of international correspondents (Bunce et al., 2019, p.11).

Foundation-funded Journalism: while this model offers notable benefits-ensuring the continuation of investigative reporting on global health and development issues- it also introduces critical ethical and editorial considerations concerning self-censorship: can a news organization that receives funding from a particular foundation freely critique the initiatives it supports? This concern is widely recognized as the “funder effect” on editorial agendas, highlighting the tension between financial support and journalistic independence (Bunce et al., 2019, pp.12-13).

NGO Funding: Certain independent journalists receive direct grants from humanitarian and relief organizations to report on specific crisis. While such funding can support in-depth reporting, it simultaneously raises complex ethical questions. Most notably, it can blur the line between independent journalism and the public relations efforts of these organizations, potentially compromising editorial autonomy and the perceived credibility of the reporting (Bunce et al., 2019, p.14).

Humanitarian Media and Decision-Making (Influencing policy Decision)

The use of media as a tool for propaganda: Humanitarian media is not inherently independent; it can sometimes operate as a conduit for state massaging (state propaganda). In context where governments are politically determined to intervene, they may feed media outlets with curated information and imagery to legitimize and back up their actions. Under such circumstances, humanitarian media shifts from a role of impartial oversight to a mechanism for garnering public support for government policies, this situation creates a fundamental tension between two imperatives: The moral duty to report on human suffering regardless of political interests and the pragmatic reality that media coverage frequently reflects national interests. Consequently, crisis in areas strategic to major powers tend to receive disproportionate attention, while more critical emergencies in less geopolitically significant areas may be overlooked (Journiette & Olsson, 2007, p.19).

Humanitarian Media as a political actor: From this perspective, humanitarian media transcends mere coverage of human suffering, it acts as a political catalyst. Its impact intensifies when the political foresight of governments exhibit weakness and wanes when the strategic interests of major powers counter intervention. In this capacity, media exerts political pressure shaping the agendas of both governments and international organizations. Intensive coverage can compel policymakers to respond militarily, diplomatically or materially to a given crisis. By shifting global attention, the media effectively acts as a compass for the international community by setting priorities, redirecting resources and focus from neglected emergencies to those that dominate the global news cycle (Allouzi, 2025, pp. 48–50).

Secondly: Transformation of Media Practice in the Era of Interactive Platforms:

The digital change in humanitarian media has completely reshaped humanitarian reporting, allowing crisis-affected individuals to share their stories directly through interactive platforms, bypassing traditional intermediaries. This innovation, however, raises an ethical question: do these technologies risk standardizing or commodifying human suffering to advance fundraising objectives, or do they genuinely empower victims? Furthermore, why is attention concentrated on certain issues and not others? Which reflects more than the mere existence of an event- it underscores the role of media and logistical infrastructures provided by humanitarian organizations, which dictates which narratives are elevated to achieve a global reach.

Emerging Trends in humanitarian media within Interactive Platforms:

Interactive platforms have catalyzed substantive structural and methodological transformations in humanitarian media, making a transition from unidirectional communication models toward participatory and dialogic environments characterized by collaborative content production. This transformation has considerably broadened the scope of influence, enabling both individuals and humanitarian organizations to document field developments in real time and to mobilize public opinion around humanitarian issues through more dynamic and far-reaching modalities of engagement.

Concurrently, advances in digital media have facilitated the deployment of innovative narrative forms, including live streaming, digital storytelling and filmed testimonies, thereby amplifying the human dimension of representation and fostering more immediate and affective connections with audiences. The following section highlights the most salient technological innovations that have emerged from the application of interactive platforms:

Data Journalism and Interactive Mapping: Contemporary news reporting is no longer confined to text and imagery; it increasingly depends on the analysis of large-scale datasets to trace the movements of displaced populations and monitor the spread of epidemics- a practice widely described as Digital Humanitarianism. By leveraging smartphone metadata and satellite imagery, journalists and humanitarian actors are able to construct real-time crisis maps that illuminate unfolding emergencies with unprecedented precision. This data-driven journalism moves beyond conventional narrative storytelling to deliver actionable analytical insights capable of informing aid allocation and response strategies. However, it simultaneously raises critical ethical dilemmas, particularly regarding the protection of victims' privacy and the safeguarding of sensitive data in conflict-affected regions.

Social Media Algorithms: The circulation and visibility of humanitarian news are increasingly governed by social media algorithms, stories that fail to generate sufficient engagement quickly fade from public view, inadvertently encouraging journalists to foreground more sensational or emotionally charged elements in order to secure digital attention (Bunce et al., 2019, p.8). Furthermore, algorithmic systems tend to prioritize trending or politically contentious content, which may result in the unintended shadow banning of less sensational but equally urgent humanitarian crisis. Algorithmic bias thus plays a significant role in obscuring and marginalizing humanitarian emergencies reporting in favor of entertainment-oriented content or politically politicized narratives to dominate digital spaces due to their higher engagement metrics (Dragomir, 2025, p. 11).

User-Generated Content: the proliferation of digital tools has empowered victims and local activists to document events as they unfold, thereby dismantling the longstanding narrative monopoly of foreign correspondents. This development, commonly associated with citizen journalism, underscores how digital tools are empowering affected communities to communicate their stories directly, reclaiming agency over representation. Nevertheless, this empowerment is accompanied by new risks, particularly the misuse of deepfakes to fabricate crises and manipulate visual evidence, potentially misleading humanitarian organizations. (Dragomir, 2025, p. 11). Before the era of smartphones, global audiences perceived humanitarian emergencies primarily through the interpretive lens of foreign reporters. Today, individuals on the ground can livestream their suffering via social media platforms, which has led to the democratization of humanitarian reporting, transforming victims from passive objects of imagery into active producers of narrative meaning.

Speed of Dissemination and the Attention Economy: Social media ecosystem has fostered a news environment dominated by immediacy and sensationalism. In this competitive digital landscape, humanitarian reporting must vie with entertainment and political content for limited audience attention. This dynamic pressures and incentivizes journalists to distill complex crisis into simplified narratives or foreground the most shock value elements (clickbait) to optimize algorithmic visibility and audience engagement.

The challenge of disinformation: The proliferation of smartphones has exacerbated the spread of false information concerning humanitarian crisis, often for political purposes, within digital environments, distinguishing authentic testimonies from fabricated content intended to inflame conflicts or mislead donors is increasingly complex. This environment imposes heightened ethical and professional obligations on journalists to conduct rigorous verification of digital sources, ensuring the accuracy and credibility of reported information.

Exacerbation of the Information Gap: Denotes the disparity in media attention between highly visible crisis, such as major natural disasters, and protracted, low-profile crises, including prolonged conflicts in remote regions. Amid the ongoing decline of traditional journalism, there is a growing risk that extensive regions may become "media shadows," remaining largely invisible to the global public except through selectively curated reports disseminated by humanitarian organizations.

Robots and Artificial intelligence Journalism: The future of journalism is poised to involve extensive deployment of artificial intelligence in news verification, particularly to counter digital misinformation in conflict zone, as well as in the rapid generation of multilingual media content to engage wider audiences. AI may further be applied to produce routine reports based on statistical data on famines or refugee flows without direct human intervention. This development raises critical concerns regarding the humanization of such reporting, as the nuanced ethical judgement, contextual awareness, and empathetic perspective traditionally provided by field journalist risk being diminished or lost (Bunce et al., 2019, p.8).

The influence of Real-Time Broadcasting: The rapid cross-border dissemination of news prevents governments from engaging in discreet diplomacy, turning crises into widely visible events that demands immediate and public responses (Journiette & Olsson, 2007, p. 5).

Media as a Mechanism of Accountability: Digital and satellite media now operate as arenas in which states' performance in addressing humanitarian crises is publicly evaluated and scrutinized (Journiette & Olsson, 2007, p. 7).

Immediate Global Reach: Digital platforms have dissolved geographic boundaries, allowing a single report from a remote region to reach a global audience within seconds. This unprecedented immediacy not only accelerates the potential for humanitarian response but also elevates international awareness of crisis that might otherwise remain obscured (Allouzi, 2025, p. 49).

Bidirectional Engagement: Unlike traditional media, digital platforms facilitate not solely passive reception but also active audience participation, enabling users to disseminate content and mobilize support through social media channels. This shift transforms audiences into co-creators of information, fostering a dynamic and collaborative media ecosystem with profound implications for public awareness and collective action (Allouzi, 2025, pp. 49–50).

Strategic Digital Collaboration: Effective humanitarian work in the modern era hinges on the strategic coordination between organizations and digital media platforms. Such partnerships optimize messages precision and outreach, ensuring that critical information reliability reaches target populations, and thereby maximizing the overall efficacy and accountability of humanitarian interventions (Allouzi, 2025, p. 48).

Digital Transparency: The digital era exerts unprecedented demands on organizations to demonstrate full transparency in the management of donations and the reporting of field activities. Equipped with sophisticated tools to investigate and verify information digital audiences hold organizations accountable, compelling them to provide verifiable evidence of impact and thereby reinforcing trust, credibility, and ethical stewardship in humanitarian efforts (Allouzi, 2025, pp. 51–52).

Acritical Perspective on shifts in Humanitarian Media through Interactive Platforms:

Within the contemporary “Risk Society” public attention has become a scarce resource. Humanitarian agencies increasingly operate in manner analogous to media producers, competing to capture audience attention through emotionally resonant individual stories that drive donations. This process effectively commodifies disasters integrating scenes of suffering into an economic cycle designed to fund relief initiatives. Consequently, such practices can inadvertently stereotype suffering and detach it from its broader political and historical context. The advent of interactive technologies has introduced profound ethical and credibility challenges, that directly impact the integrity, accountability, and normative values of humanitarian media.

The issue of the voiceless victims constitutes a central critique of media practices in the context of interactive humanitarian platforms. Affected populations are frequently portrayed through narrative that erode their agency, rendering them incapable of articulating their own experiences. Communities from the Global South are often depicted as helpless and broken, reduced to images of suffering and need. This framing transforms these communities into passive visual subjects rather than active agents with distinct voices and narratives.

This concern is further exacerbated by the omission of broader contextual factors. Media coverage tend to focus on the immediate moment of disaster as an isolated and sudden event, overlooking the historical, economic, and political determinants that contribute to vulnerability, such as chronic poverty or unequal development policies. In this way, Humanitarian media is prone to reproducing a reductive and stereotypical image of crisis confined to their immediate manifestations, rather than providing a nuanced understanding that connects it to its deeper structural and systematic contexts.

Within the era of interactive platforms, a complex and often fraught symbiosis has emerged between journalists and humanitarian organizations. Journalists pursue compelling stories and exclusive images, while organizations seek media exposure to gain visibility and enhance their profile and secure funding. Yet this interdependence can compromise professional autonomy, transforming journalists from critical observers into promotional intermediaries who reproduce organizational narratives without scrutiny and analysis.

This entanglement reinforces what is known as the projectization of humanitarian work, in which aid efforts are managed according to time-managed and technically defined projects governed by measurable indicators and numerical metrics tailored to satisfy donors expectations. As a result, protracted crises are often condensed into short funding cycles, sometimes prompting organizations to withdraw once financial support ceases, regardless of the persistent humanitarian needs in the field.

This logic has fundamentally triggered transformations in the nature of communicative discourse. Human interactions increasingly rely on a sterile, technical language that replaces human stories with performance metrics, reducing human suffering to statistics and figures. The growing burden of bureaucratic demands drains organization’s time and diverts their attention toward producing funder-oriented reports often at the expense of genuine engagement with affected populations, creating a dissonance between field realities and their formal representation. In an environment where funding is tied to projects, a so-called “compassion market” has emerged, where organizations compete for visibility through branding strategies. Consequently, communication often serves institutional self-promotion and the projection of excellence, rather than fostering collaborative action. In this framework, discourse is frequently calibrated to meet funders’ cultural informed expectations, rather than addressing beneficiaries’ genuine needs in ways that reflect their specific local contexts.

A further salient critique concerns the rising role of celebrities on interactive platforms as moral intermediaries, connecting audiences to distant humanitarian issues. These public figures do not necessarily provide analytical insights, rather they leverage their symbolic capital and fame to infuse an emotional dimension, rendering complex issues more accessible to general public. However, this form of mediation often leads to oversimplification, reducing crisis to mere emotionally charged personal stories- frequently centered on children or exceptional humanitarian cases, while sidelining deeper structural and political determinants. Moreover, the narrative may also focus on the celebrity’s own presence, reinforcing the trope of a “rescuer from the Global North” and redirecting the attention from the victim to the intermediary figure. Concurrently, the humanitarian sector has witnessed the emergence of major corporations, as influential actors.

Philanthropy is no longer confined in sporadic acts of giving; it has become an integral component of corporate communicative identity. Through the humanization of brands strategies, cultivating emotional engagement with audiences and bolster their ethical standing within the public sphere.

Interactive Platforms, have also given rise to a new form of solidarity, notably “ironic solidarity”, where individuals engage in fleeting symbolic acts, such as liking or following, providing a sense of moral satisfaction without substantive involvement in supporting the causes. While these platforms have created expansive spaces for self-expression, they may simultaneously dilute the ethical dimension by promoting instantaneous reactions that sometimes lack deep moral reflection on the motivations and significances of action. Compounding this, the issue of information monopolization has emerged: certain organizations now supply journalists with pre-packaged visual materials, enhancing their capacity to control the narrative flow and shape the framing of humanitarian discourse.

In parallel, the rise of citizen journalism and user-generated content, has facilitated the transmission of live images and firsthand accounts from crisis zones, enhancing the immediacy and authenticity of coverage while granting victims a direct voice. Yet, this dynamic introduces significant professional challenges related to verifying credibility in a fast-paced media landscape. These challenges are further amplified by the proliferation of live streaming and what might be termed “dictatorship of the moment”, where the pressure for instantaneous publication compresses shortens the time available for careful research and analysis. This outcome is immediate but often superficial humanitarian narratives, lacking the depth and historical and political context necessary to fully apprehend crisis in their broader and more complex dimensions.

Ethical and Professional Challenges in the Digital Media Ecosystem:

With the shift to digital spaces, humanitarian communication has evolved beyond a one-way broadcast from organization to audiences, emerging as a dynamic arena for interaction and digital participation. Digital platforms offer unprecedented opportunities for cross-border solidarity, enabling audiences to become engaged witnesses through their smartphones. At the same time, these platforms present new professional and ethical challenges, including ensuring content reliability, safeguarding the privacy of affected individuals, and avoiding the instrumentalization of suffering for sensationalism or emotionally manipulative purposes. Consequently, humanitarian media within interactive platform is evolving into a continuously renewing communicative field, balancing rapid dissemination with adherence to professional and ethical standards, to maximize positive impact while upholding human dignity. Nonetheless, it continues to confront a series of persistent challenges including:

The risk of persistent stereotyping: Despite these developments, the sheer volume of information can yield counterproductive outcomes, such as emotional desensitization or the reduction of solidarity to a superficial, consumable gesture that lacks substantive political engagement (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.18).

Information chaos and the transformation of conflict: The transition from an era of controlled news to one characterized by informational fluidity-driven by real-time coverage via smartphone and social media- has fundamentally altered the reporting of war- Civilians, victims and combatants now broadcast conflicts directly, bypassing traditional war correspondents. This transformation has also fragmented the narrative; instead of a single coherent account, there exist thousands of information fragments- images, tweets, videos- that make it difficult to form a cohesive understanding producing what “Hoskins” terms cognitive disorientation among global audiences (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.66).

Digital memory and the living archive: Historically, wars were either forgotten or recorded in books. In the digital age, however, instantaneous documentation – where every violation is permanently digitized – generates a form of living archive that continuously holds political actors accountable. Yet, the sheer volume of imagery can have counterproductive effects, as audiences may become desensitized to scenes of human suffering, thereby diminishing the ability of human efforts to generate meaningful moral impact (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, pp.13-18).

The shift to digital media has fundamentally redefined the role of audiences, moving them from mere passive spectators to active participants. Audiences can now respond to organizations, share stories, and even make donations with a click of a button. Yet, this transition has also fostered a phenomenon termed “narcissistic solidarity”, a new form of digital engagement, in which individuals disseminate images of disasters not to assist victims, but to enhance their own social image within their online networks (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.421).

Algorithms and decision-making (Algorithmic governance): This concept refers to the transfer of decision-making authority from human actors to algorithms, which determine eligibility for aid through data-driven metrics of merit. This process can introduce hidden biases and systematically exclude groups for whom sufficient digital data is unavailable. Furthermore, the qualification of suffering-reducing poverty and hardships to numerical metrics- strips refugees of their personal narratives and individual contexts, transforming them into mere entries within a global database (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.185).

Risks Associated with Data flows: A critical question arises regarding the trajectory of data and who actually owns it? There is growing concern about the potential of sharing this data with governments and major tech companies, which could place refugees at risk of security breaches or potential targeting. This issue also underscores the concept of digital sovereignty: refugees currently lack any control over their personal data, with ownership and control remaining in the hands of international organizations and system providers (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.87).

Risks of Humanitarian Privatization: Humanitarian organizations and institutions often exhibit selective prioritization, focusing on high-visibility issues that are politically non-controversial, while neglecting complex crisis that do not serve the organization's marketing appeal. This commodification of human suffering transforms pain into professionally crafted campaigns designed to boost engagement or maximize donations, undermining the ethical integrity and political significance of humanitarian action (Chouliaraki & Vestergaard, 2022, p.158). Moreover, the production of humanitarian media is predominantly shaped by western perspectives, Western experts frequently determine what is deemed important or ethical, while local voices are often marginalized or instrumentalized as mere background for the narrative. Such practices reveal a fundamental ethical imbalance in the governance and representation of international humanitarian media (Sunderland, 2024, p. 13).

The Pity Industry: Humanitarian media often employs an emotional framing that portrays victims -particularly in the Global South countries- as entirely helpless and vulnerable, images of starving children or grieving mothers are strategically exploited to elicit sympathy from Western audiences, raising concerns about the commodification of suffering.

Humanizing Victims versus Reducing Them to Numbers: This tension highlights the ethical challenge of representing large-scale disasters solely through statistics. Humanitarian media seeks to give victims a voice and to introduce the political and social context of crisis, rather than reducing them to images or figures, as an inevitable events or natural catastrophes with causes. Ignoring the underlying causes risks rendering human suffering seemingly arbitrary and devoid of meaning.

Commodification of Suffering: In the digital age, the attention economy drives some journalists and humanitarian organization to seek out and prioritize the most shocking images to make sure news virality (Bunce et al., 2019, p. 17). Such form of representation turns human suffering into a consumable media commodity, rapidly viewed and forgotten, thereby eroding the critical depth of humanitarian work (Bunce et al., 2019, pp. 19–20). Although organizations strive to preserve victim's dignity, journalistic imperatives often compel the publication of visually striking and emotionally charged images to capture public attention. This can fall into the trap of "pain porn", portraying victims as perpetually helpless (Wright et al., 2025, p. 3). While emotionally charged narratives can increase fundraising, they place journalists in an ethical quandary: Overemphasizing risks violating the dignity of victims and reducing them to a mere instrument for financial gain (Allouzi, 2025, p. 49).

The Topic Gap: Algorithmic analyses have revealed significant "black holes" in global news coverage. For instance, climate change, despite being a major driver of future crisis, continues to receive disproportionately limited attention compared to sudden conflict events. Similarly, forgotten crisis- such as certain conflicts in Central Africa- remain visually invisible across all languages. These patterns demonstrates that global media coverage is highly selective, driven more by vested interests than by societal needs (Dragomir, 2025, p. 21).

Ethics of Funding and its Impact on Messaging: Reliance on government donors can constrain an organization's ethical and operational autonomy. When an organization asserts neutrality and independence yet conceals certain facts to satisfy a donor, it encounters a profound ethical dilemma regarding transparency and accountability to its audience (Sunderland, 2024, p. 14).

Platforms Proliferation and loss of Control: Humanitarian organizations are increasingly able to bypass traditional journalistic gatekeepers to engage audiences directly via social media. However, this expansion has led to attention fragmentation: in the digital environment, humanitarian crisis in Africa competes with entertainment trends, prompting organizations to adopt more sensational and proactive strategies to capture attention- a phenomenon often referred to as "clickbait humanitarianism" (Sunderland, 2024, p. 13).

The 24/7 digital News Cycle: The accelerated pace of digital media has significantly affected the quality and depth of humanitarian reporting, compelling organizations to produce immediate and continuous content. This temporal pressure reduces opportunities for rigorous field verification and fosters reliance on preformatted templates that often replicate information superficially. In the relentless digital environment, delays are costly; organizations frequently depend on preliminary data that may be inaccurate to keep pace with unfolding events, contributing to the erosion of agenda-setting quality as speed takes precedence over accuracy (Sunderland, 2024, pp. 13-15).

Digital Surveillance and Agenda Erosion: The digital landscape in conflict zones presents a significant challenge. Governments in Africa increasingly deploy tools to monitor the digital content generated by humanitarian staff. This surveillance intensifies self-censorship, as field workers recognize that a single tweet or Instagram post could result to office closures or even arrest. Consequently, rather than serving as a platform for transparency and truth, the digital space can act as a mechanism for constraining information (Sunderland, 2024, p. 5).

Declining Resources in Traditional Newsroom: The digitization of media has disrupted the economic model of traditional journalism, compelling major media outlets to rely heavily on digital content provided by organizations. Journalists have progressively become "desk journalist" (churnalism), repurposing digitally provided material without leaving their offices. This dynamic grants organizations substantial agenda-setting power, though this influence is contingent on satisfying algorithms and engaging the attention of digital audiences (Sunderland, 2024, p. 194).

Data Accuracy: The ethical fidelity of organizations in reporting numerical data and statistics is critical. Some organizations are prone to inflating figures to secure funding, representing a form of ethical misrepresentation that undermines trust and accountability (Dragomir, 2025, p. 8).

The Ethical Dilemma of Images: The intensive display of victim imagery imposes considerable ethical pressure on decision-makers, often prompting rapid, emotionally driven choices, such decisions may have unintended consequences that ultimately fail to serve the long-term interests of the affected populations (Journiette & Olsson, 2007, p. 9).

Fake News and Disinformation: Humanitarian media encounters multiple challenges in navigating misinformation. Ironic or Satirical content, though not intended to cause harm, can mislead audiences (Bunce, 2019, p. 2). Manipulated content involves authentic material taken out of context or altered, distorting its meaning, while entirely fabricated content is deliberately designed to deceive for financial gain, political agendas, or non-governmental organizations interests (Bunce, 2019, p. 4).

Truth versus Spectacle: A critical balance must be maintained between crafting compelling storytelling and preserving factual accuracy. Humanitarian reporting should avoid undue exaggeration or distortion of crises for emotional impact, ensuring that stories remain truthful while engaging audiences effectively.

Cultural Biases: It is crucial to depict crises within their global context, avoiding disproportionate emphasis on events in the west while ignoring others due to racial or geographical biases (Allouzi, 2025, pp. 50-51). Emotionally charged coverage can sometimes lead western audiences to adopt children from conflict zones, often misrepresenting biological parents as incapable of providing care (Gordon-Bates, 1997, pp. 755-756). Journalistic independence remains the primary safeguard for integrity of reporting, as excessive emotional involvement can compromise journalist's objectivity and critical judgment (Gordon-Bates, 1997, p. 758).

Ethics of representing children: The display of images of deformed or starving children raises an ongoing ethical debate. While some organizations use such imagery to attract attention, others – such as save the Children- deliberately avoid shock images to prevent exploitation of children for political purposes and to uphold their dignity (Paulmann, 2022, pp. 11-12).

Fourth: Prospects for Advancing the Social and Ethical Responsibility of Humanitarian Media within Interactive Platforms

The potential to strengthening the social and ethical responsibility of humanitarian media has expanded markedly, especially with the growing presence of interactive digital platforms, which now serve as primary spaces for the dissemination of humanitarian issues and the shaping of public discourse around them. While these platforms offer unparalleled speed and breadth of reach, they simultaneously underscore the imperative to institutionalize media practices rooted in ethical awareness, respect for human dignity and the avoidance of exploiting the suffering of vulnerable populations for sensational or consumptive purposes.

In parallel, the digital environment necessitates the development of robust professional frameworks to regulate the production and dissemination of humanitarian content, striking a careful balance between communicative efficacy and adherence to human values. Within this context, media organizations and digital stakeholders assume a pivotal role in promoting a culture of responsibility by adopting discursive practices that prioritize awareness-raising, encourage solidarity, support community-based initiatives, and reinforces the credibility and transparency of disseminated content. Accordingly, the sustainability and impact of humanitarian media within interactive platforms hinge upon its capacity to combine communicative effectiveness with ethical standards. This combination is essential for cultivating a socially informed public that demonstrates heightened sensitivity to humanitarian issues and stronger commitment to the principles of solidarity and shared responsibility, through the following measures:

Avoiding Accountability: Non-governmental organizations frequently frame crises in their press releases as acts of fate or natural calamities, even when the underlying causes are human, such as armed conflict or political corruption. This tendency often reflects pragmatic constraints: naming those responsible can provoke the ire of local authorities or armed groups, potentially restricting access to affected areas, consequently, humanitarian media tends to focus on the experiences of suffering while sidelining the perpetrators, thereby depriving the public of full understanding of the structural and political origin of the crisis, by omitting these dimensions, the discourse risks obscuring the mechanisms of accountability and the broader socio-political context in which humanitarian emergencies occur (Sunderland, 2024, p. 12).

Headquarters versus Field: international offices often prioritize the production of sensational or emotionally charged content to attract donations and garner global media attention. In contrast, field staff advocate for restrained and measured language, as they are the one exposed to the direct risks posed by armed forces or threats of expulsion. In practice, the priorities of headquarters -centered on fundraising- often prevail, yet this often entails sanitizing content by removing substantive political critique. As a result, Humanitarian reporting becomes a mere “spectacle of suffering”, emphasizing distress while obscuring the underlining structural and political factors that generate the crises. This dynamic underscore a critical tension between the imperatives of communication and ethical responsibility in humanitarian media (Sunderland, 2024, p. 113).

Reverse Information Subsidies: Humanitarian organizations themselves often receive informational support from more powerful entities, for example, if original data from the United Nations has been politically negotiated with the host government, NGOs may inadvertently act as conduits for official propaganda, presented under the guise of humanitarian reporting. This dynamic raises critical questions about the independence of information dissemination and the potential for subtle co-optation (Sunderland, 2024, pp. 199-200).

Neutrality as Both shield and Constraint: The principle of humanitarian neutrality can simultaneously serve as a protective shield and limiting constraint. Organizations often refrain from criticizing particular actors for fear that such criticism will be interpreted as partiality. Consequently, NGOs may opt for silence or ambiguous language. Which contributes to the gradual erosion of a robust humanitarian news agenda, obscuring accountability and limiting public comprehension of systemic violations (Sunderland, 2024, p. 121).

Responsibility Beyond Funding: Humanitarian media is increasingly used as a public relations instrument aimed at mobilizing donations. However, social responsibility demands that media go beyond highlighting immediate needs of victims and elucidate the underlying causes of crises. This comprises political and structural root causes, ensuring that the reporting not only informs about “what is needed” but also explains “why the crisis occurred”, thereby promoting a more informed and critically aware public.

Holding Major Powers Accountable: Responsible humanitarian media should go beyond simply relaying relief appeals and actively amplifying calls for accountability directed at negligent governments (Dragomir, 2025, p.9). In this sense, media functions as a watchdog over foreign policy, exposing governmental inaction in situations of genocide, famine, or other large-scale humanitarian crises. By educating the public about the structural and humanitarian dimensions of distant emergencies, media can generate public pressure that encourages governments to fulfill their international obligations (Journiette & Olsson, 2007, pp.9-10). The nature of media discourse has an immediate impact on the mobilization of material and human resources (Allouzi, 2025, p.48); responsible coverage serves as a catalyst for public response (Allouzi, 2025, p.50). Shaping public policy represents also an essential aspect of social responsibility: comprehensive and sustained reporting can place humanitarian crises on governmental political agendas, prompting decision-makers to adopt policies that support timely and effective humanitarian intervention and combating apathy : Dry, bureaucratic reporting tends to disengage audiences and erode public attention. Humanitarian media therefore takes the responsibility to employ strategic, engaging, and interactive communication approaches that sustain public interest and involvement in protracted humanitarian crises, ensuring that distant or long-term emergencies remain salient in the collective conscience (Allouzi, 2025, p.50)..

Rules and Systems Governing the Media-Humanitarian Interface: Humanitarian media works as a system of mediation, conceptualized as a form of social responsibility that bridges the gap between distant suffering and the audiences. This role demands ethical reflection on shared values and expectations. Beyond immediate fundraising imperatives, humanitarian organizations bear the social responsibility to produce educational and awareness- raising content that elucidates the root causes of crisis, rather than relying solely on campaigns aimed at generating rapid financial gain.

Navigating Political Balance: Ethical responsibility also involves navigating the delicate tension between political neutrality and moral testimony. Humanitarian media must discern when reporting on suffering constitutes a political act aimed at influencing policy, and when it is purely humanitarian endeavor intended to deliver relief (Paulmann, 2022, pp.14-15). Integral to this social responsibility is ensuring that victims have agency within media narratives, amplifying their voices and experiences directly, rather than reducing them to mere visual subjects (Paulmann, 2022, p.13).

Re-envisioning the Ethics of Humanitarian Media practices in the Era of Interactive Platforms:

In the context of prospective reflections on humanitarian media practices amid interactive digital platforms, a critical perspective is emerging that advocates a shift from the ethics of representation toward an ethics of listening. This framework challenges the conventional focus on how the suffering of others is visually depicted, advocating instead for approaches that empower individuals to express their own experiences and reclaim their political agency. Such transformation entails a fundamental reorientation in the philosophy of human communication: moving away from treating victims as mere objects of display or documentation, toward recognizing them as active partners and co-authors of their own narratives. It underscores the necessity of providing platforms and tools that allow affected individuals to recount their experiences autonomously, free from the mediation of dominant voices. Within this forward-looking horizon, attentive and genuine listening to the voices of those affected is not merely desirable but constitutes an ethical imperative, essential for restoring balance in the relationship between humanitarian media and the communities it seeks to represent.

In this context, the pursuit of digital justice becomes paramount. Technology must not serve to reproduce existing hierarchies or enacting forms of digital colonialism, but rather operate as a means of empowering local communities to manage their crises and define their own communicative priorities. Ethical reflections in humanitarian practice increasingly entails confronting the politization of technology, particularly within the expanding dominance of artificial intelligence and algorithmic systems in humanitarian and communicative work. The challenge is no longer purely technical; it is profoundly political, raising critical questions about data ownership and control over its circulation. Equally, this perspective calls for a reconceptualization of solidarity as a deliberate political act, rather than a transient, consumer-driven impulse. It requires moving beyond ephemeral empathy toward critical engagement with the structural causes of crises, situating them amid the global order, and motivating publics to advocate for systematic policy change rather than simply donating to assuage conscience.

Foresight in the development of humanitarian media underscores the need to dismantle Western centrality in narrative production, by supporting the growth of humanitarian and media actors from the Global South who possess their own language, perspectives, and independent visions. Such actors are uniquely positioned to craft narratives that emphasize

the resilience and agency of affected communities, rather than reproducing the conventional image of the external savior. This paradigm shift is accompanied by a movement from event-driven reporting to explanatory journalism, which goes beyond the question of *what happened?* To interrogate *why it happened?* By placing disasters within long-term political, economic, and climatic contexts, such approach fosters deeper public understanding and emphasizes that crises are not inevitable but are the outcomes of modifiable conditions.

Among the future ethical imperatives is to address the so-called “compassion fatigue” by embracing alternative journalistic paradigms, notably solutions journalism. This approach seeks to strike a balance between the documentation of suffering and the amplification of resilience and successful local initiatives. By foregrounding communities’ capacities for adaptation, it fosters a more substantive form of humanization and empowers affected populations to articulate their own visions for resolving their crises, rather than being represented as passive recipients awaiting external rescue. Within this framework, there is also a pressing need to dismantle linguistic dominance in humanitarian narratives by promoting cross-lingual collaboration among journalist, reducing overreliance on Western media news agencies, as primary information sources, and encouraging reciprocal transition across media in the Global South. Such measures are essential to ensuring a plurality of voices and a richer diversity of perspectives.

Revisiting the ethics of humanitarian media is inherently linked to reinforcing professional independence amid financial and political pressures, thereby preventing media narratives from becoming extensions of donor agendas or governmental discourse. This entails a rigorous commitment to transparency in the reporting of data sources and statistical evidence, alongside the avoidance of numerical manipulation for sensationalism or the rapid elicitation of sympathy. It further requires active resistance to censorship and prior approval mechanisms imposed on humanitarian reporting in crisis zones, given their corrosive impact on freedom of expression. In parallel, there is a pressing need to re-evaluate communication strategies by moving away from fragmented, dramatized storytelling toward more nuanced and contextually grounded analysis of social and political dynamics, thereby mitigating the reproduction of stereotypes, particularly in representations of crises across the African continent.

From a forward-looking standpoint, advancing ethical media practices necessitates sustained investment in interactive platforms and more direct audience engagement, thereby reducing dependence on the commercially driven logic of traditional news media. Concurrently, there is a growing shift toward sustainable humanitarian journalism that transcends episodic, crisis-driven coverage and instead commits to the long-term monitoring of underreported issues, with the goal of cultivating sustained public awareness. This approach further highlights the importance of diversifying data sources by strengthening journalism rather than relying predominantly on pre-packaged press releases, thus safeguarding the independence and credibility of media narratives. Amid the expanding deployment of artificial intelligence and large-scale data analytics, there is a pressing need to humanize statistics by turning numbers into narratives that capture individuals’ lived experiences, suffering, and resilience. This helps prevent the stereotyping of victims and their reduction to mere abstract quantitative indicators. The forthcoming phase also demands a heightened commitment to digital transparency, particularly with regard to the disclosure of data collection and processing practices, as well as the avoidance of technological applications that artificially inflated or distort the representation of crisis. At the same time, major digital platforms must be called upon to critically reassess their algorithms to ensure that critical humanitarian content reaches audiences beyond purely profit-driven engagement metrics, thereby enhancing the presence of humanitarian issues within digital spaces in a more balanced and ethically responsible framework.

Conclusion

This forward-looking critical examination of humanitarian media ethics in the age of interactive platforms leads to a central insight: the most pressing ethical challenge lies in transitioning from a predominantly reactive mode of crisis coverage to an explanatory paradigm. In other words, this entails prioritizing the analysis of political, environmental, and economic drivers of crises in order to mobilize the international community toward structural and sustainable solutions, rather than relying solely on short-term emergency responses. Concurrently, humanitarian journalism is experiencing a profound identity crisis. It no longer constitutes a clearly delineated profession, but instead operates as a contested space shaped by the interplay of professional ethics, financial pressures, and technological change. Its future hinges on the ability of journalists and institutions to forge a renewed social contract that places human dignity and rights above financial imperatives and metrics of digital reach.

References

- Allouzi, A. J. (2025). The power of media in international humanitarian action. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jhsss>
- Bunce, M. (2019). Humanitarian communication in a post-truth world. *The Journal of Humanitarian Affairs*, 1(1), 49–55. <https://doi.org/10.7227/jha.007>
- Bunce, M., Scott, M., & Wright, K. (2019). Humanitarian journalism. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.013.821>
- Chouliraki, L., & Vestergaard, A. (Eds.). (2022). *Routledge handbook of humanitarian communication*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315363493>
- Dragomir, M. (2025). *Humanitarian crisis coverage report*. Media and Journalism Research Center. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13831.87203>

- Gordon-Bates, K. (1997). Media and humanitarian endeavour. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 37(321), 753–758. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020860400077883>
- Journiette, I. B., & Olsson, L. (2007). *Media and humanitarian intervention: A study of the CNN effect and the United States foreign policy making in the context of Somalia and Darfur* (Bachelor's thesis, Department of Political Science). Lund University.
- Orao, E. (2024). *How does media coverage affect humanitarian funding?* (Degree project, Communication for Development). Malmö University.
- Paulmann, J. (2022). Humanitarianism and media: Introduction to an entangled history. In *Humanitarianism and media* (pp. 1–38). De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781785339622-003>
- Sunderland, M. (2024). *Aid and the news agenda: Examining the forces shaping NGO-produced humanitarian media* (Doctoral dissertation, Bournemouth University). Bournemouth University.
- Wright, K., Madrid-Morales, D., & Barrie, C. (2025). Beyond “emergencies?” Reporting on humanitarian issues around the world. *Digital Journalism*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2025.2502129>