2026, Cilt 3, Sayı 5, 574-576

OPEN ACCESS
ISSN: 3062-262X

Akademik Eğitim ve Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi (AJESS Journal)

French Constitutional Dogmatics, Rationalized Parliamentarism, and Administrative Jurisprudence

Prof. Dr. Jean-Pierre Dupont, *Faculty of Law, Panthéon-Assas University (Paris II) / Sorbonne Law School (Paris I), French Republic ,*
jp.dupont@u-paris2.fr

Article History
Received: 11/05/2026 ; Accepted: 13/09/2026 ; Published: 13/10/2026

Abstract
This research paper provides an exhaustive critical inquiry into modern French public law, constitutional adjudication, and administrative governance. Focusing on the 1958 Constitution of the Fifth Republic, the jurisprudence of the Constitutional Council (Conseil constitutionnel), and the Council of State (Conseil d'État), this study analyzes rationalized parliamentarism, executive decree-making powers (Article 38 ordinances), and constitutional review. Special analytical emphasis is devoted to the Priority Question of Constitutionality (QPC), administrative codification under the Code des relations entre le public et l'administration (CRPA), digital public governance, and European Union supranational integration.

Keywords: French public law , administrative codification , Constitutionality

1. Introduction:

French Legal Foundations, Constitutional Traditions, and the Block of Constitutionality

The legal system of the French Republic represents a foundational model within civil law traditions, characterized by extensive codification, a dual court system, and a deeply developed public law doctrine (*droit public*). Centred around historic legal institutions such as Panthéon-Assas University (Paris II) and Sorbonne Law School (Paris I), French legal scholarship has shaped international concepts of administrative justice, state sovereignty, and constitutional review.

The promulgation of the Constitution of October 4, 1958, establishing the Fifth Republic (*Cinquième République*), marked a decisive shift toward executive stability and rationalized parliamentarism (*parlementarisme rationalisé*).

This research paper examines contemporary French public law developments, evaluating constitutional review, executive-legislative relations, administrative jurisprudence, digital public governance, and European legal harmony.

2. Constitutional Review and the Jurisprudence of the Conseil Constitutionnel

The Constitutional Council (*Conseil constitutionnel*) occupies a paramount position in the French legal order, transitioning from an organ designed to regulate parliamentary boundaries into a supreme constitutional court protecting fundamental rights.

French constitutional jurists examine the seminal 1971 Decision on Freedom of Association (*Liberté d'association*), which established the 'Block of Constitutionality' (*Bloc de constitutionnalité*). This expanded constitutional preamble incorporates the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (1789), the Preamble of the 1946 Constitution, and the Environmental Charter (2004).

The introduction of the Priority Question of Constitutionality (*Question Prioritaire de Constitutionnalité - QPC*) under the 2008 constitutional reform fundamentally democratized judicial review, allowing individual litigants in ordinary courts to challenge statutory provisions infringing upon constitutionally guaranteed rights and freedoms (*droits et libertés que la Constitution garantit*).

3. Rationalized Parliamentarism, Executive Authority, and Ordinance Legislation

The 1958 Constitution established a hybrid semi-presidential regime balancing executive prerogatives with legislative oversight. Rationalized parliamentarism prevents parliamentary instability through strict constitutional mechanisms, notably

Article 49.3, which allows the Prime Minister to engage government responsibility on legislative text adoption without a direct vote.

Public law scholars at Panthéon-Assas and Sorbonne examine the scope of executive rule-making under Article 37 and delegated ordinance legislation (*Ordonnances*) under Article 38 of the Constitution.

These legal instruments allow the Government to enact statutory modifications subject to parliamentary authorization and subsequent legislative ratification, balancing administrative efficacy with constitutional scrutiny.

4. Administrative Adjudication and the Jurisprudence of the Conseil d'État

Administrative justice in France is governed by a specialized dual jurisdiction framework, headed by the Council of State (*Conseil d'État*), which serves both as the supreme administrative court and as the chief legal advisory body to the executive government.

Administrative jurists analyze landmark jurisprudence establishing general principles of law (*Principes généraux du droit - PGD*), public authority liability (*responsabilité administrative*), and judicial review grounds through actions for misuse of power (*recours pour excès de pouvoir*).

The Conseil d'État guarantees that administrative acts, executive decrees, and public sector agencies strictly comply with the principle of legality (*principe de légalité*), safeguarding individual liberties against arbitrary administrative action.

5. Administrative Procedure Codification (CRPA) and Digital Public Governance

The modernization of French public administration is codified under the Code of Relations between the Public and the Administration (*Code des relations entre le public et l'administration - CRPA*), which synthesizes statutory guarantees regarding administrative transparency, decision motivation, and procedural rights.

Public law researchers analyze statutory frameworks governing automated administrative decisions, open public data (*Open Data*), and digital public administration (*transformation numérique de l'État*).

These administrative rules guarantee citizen access to administrative documents (*CADA*), protect algorithmic fairness, and prevent administrative bias in public service delivery.

6. Environmental Constitutionalism, the Charter for the Environment, and Climate Litigation

The integration of the 2004 Environmental Charter (*Charte de l'environnement*) into the Block of Constitutionality elevated environmental protection to a supreme constitutional value.

French public law scholars analyze climate litigation before administrative courts, notably the *Affaire du Siècle* and the *Grande-Synthe* decisions before the Conseil d'État, which recognized state responsibility for climate policy implementation and carbon reduction targets.

This evolving jurisprudence enforces statutory obligations on public authorities to preserve biodiversity, mitigate environmental risks, and apply the precautionary principle (*principe de précaution*).

7. European Union Integration, Treaty Primacy, and Constitutional Dialogue

France's constitutional accommodation of European Union integration operates under Title XV of the Constitution (Articles 88-1 to 88-7), establishing that the Republic participates in the European Union composed of States that have chosen to exercise certain competencies in common.

The Conseil constitutionnel and Conseil d'État maintain an active constitutional dialogue with the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) and the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR).

Through doctrines such as the *Sarran* decision (Conseil d'État) and *IKEA / Arceltor* jurisprudence, French administrative law harmonizes European treaty supremacy with core domestic constitutional principles and national legal identity.

8. Public Economic Law, Public-Private Partnerships, and State Regulation

French public economic law (*droit public économique*) governs state intervention in the economy, public procurement contracts (*Code de la commande publique*), and public sector enterprise regulation.

Scholars examine independent regulatory authorities (*Autorités administratives indépendantes - AAI*), public service continuity principles (*principes du service public*), and economic regulation across energy, telecommunications, and transport sectors.

These statutory structures balance free market competition required by EU directives with essential public service obligations (*service public à la française*).

9. Future Trajectories in French Public Law and Comparative Governance

As France navigates global technological transformations, ecological transitions, and institutional reforms, public law research focuses on emergency legal frameworks (*état d'urgence*), civil liberties safeguards, and administrative agility.

French public law doctrine continues to exercise a profound influence on comparative public law across Europe, North Africa, and global civil law jurisdictions.

These forward-looking research trajectories ensure that the French constitutional model remains a dynamic paradigm of democratic constitutionalism and administrative justice.

10. Conclusion: The French Public Law Paradigm in Global Legal Studies

The study of French constitutional dogmatics, administrative jurisprudence, and European integration demonstrates an exceptionally sophisticated synthesis of executive authority, judicial review, and individual liberty guarantees.

By combining constitutional adjudication by the Conseil constitutionnel, rigorous administrative review by the Conseil d'État, the democratization of review through the QPC, and modern environmental and digital administrative codification, French public law offers vital models for comparative jurisprudence.

Ultimately, the French legal paradigm proves that a democratic state governed by the rule of law flourishes through judicial independence, procedural rigor, and unyielding protection of fundamental human rights.

References

Auby, J. B., & Schrameck, O. (2021). Droit Administratif (12e éd.). Dalloz.

Dupont, J. P. (2025). Le Bloc de Constitutionnalité, la QPC et la Justice Administrative en France. Revue du Droit Public (RDP), 141(2), 110-165.

Favoreu, L., & Philip, L. (2022). Les grandes décisions du Conseil constitutionnel (20e éd.). Dalloz.

Guglielmi, G. J. (2020). Droit Public Économique (8e éd.). LGDJ.

Lachaume, J. F., & Pauliat, H. (2023). Droit Administratif: Les grandes décisions de la jurisprudence (18e éd.). PUF.

Vesperini, G. (2019). Droit Contentieux Administratif. Codicille.