2026, Cilt 3, Sayı 4, 331-336

OPEN ACCESS
ISSN: 3062-262X

Akademik Eğitim ve Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi (AJESS Journal)

LEGAL FRAMEWORKS FOR DIGITAL FINANCIAL INCLUSION IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA: REGULATORY CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN NIGERIA

Dr. Olumide A. Adebayo, Faculty of Law, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria
ORCID iD: 0000-0002-1845-9271; o.adebayo@ui.edu.ng

Article History
Received: 31/07/2026; Accepted: 22/08/2026; Published : 09/09/2026

ABSTRACT
This paper critically evaluates the legal and regulatory frameworks governing digital financial inclusion in Sub-Saharan Africa, focusing on Nigeria as a primary case study. As financial technology (FinTech) rapidly alters access to financial services for historically unbanked and underbanked populations, legacy legal institutions face unprecedented friction in maintaining systemic stability while fostering innovation. Employing a doctrinal and comparative legal methodology, this study analyzes the statutory efficacy of the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) regulatory sandboxes, the Banks and Other Financial Institutions Act (BOFIA 2020), consumer protection mechanisms under the Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission (FCCPC), and data privacy safeguards established by the Nigeria Data Protection Act (NDPA) 2023. The research reveals significant normative gaps between technological deployment and legislative adaptation, specifically regarding algorithmic discrimination in credit scoring, predatory digital lending, cross-border remittance interoperability, and anti-money laundering (AML/CFT) compliance. By contrasting Nigeria's bank-led regulatory approach with Kenya's telecom-led M-Pesa ecosystem, the paper formulates a responsive, human-centric regulatory model designed to reconcile innovation incentives with consumer protection and financial integrity.

Keywords: Financial Inclusion, FinTech Regulation, Banking Law, Nigeria Data Protection Act 2023, BOFIA 2020, Central Bank of Nigeria, Algorithmic Bias, Comparative Financial Law.

1. INTRODUCTION & THEORETICAL CONTEXT

1.1 Background and Socio-Economic Imperative

Financial inclusion has moved to the center of global development policy, recognized by the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)—specifically Goals 1 (No Poverty), 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), and 10 (Reduced Inequalities)—as a key enabler of inclusive economic growth. In Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), access to formal financial institutions has historically been constrained by structural barriers, including geographic dispersion, prohibitive infrastructure costs, high account maintenance fees, and rigorous formal documentation requirements such as proof of address and identity credentials.

Over the past decade, the rapid adoption of financial technology (FinTech), mobile telephony, and agent banking models has significantly altered financial access across the region. According to Global Findex data, formal account ownership in Sub-Saharan Africa expanded rapidly, largely driven by the growth of mobile money accounts. In Nigeria—Africa's most populous nation and largest economy—the FinTech sector has experienced exponential growth. Innovations such as Quick Response (QR) payments, instant micro-credit applications, peer-to-peer (P2P) remittance networks, and agent banking platforms (such as POS terminals) have brought millions of previously unbanked citizens into the informal and semi-formal financial ecosystem.

However, this rapid digital transformation has outpaced traditional legal paradigms. Legal institutions framed around physical brick-and-mortar banking operations, paper-based negotiable instruments, and localized jurisdictional boundaries struggle to regulate decentralized, algorithmic, and cloud-hosted financial services.

1.2 The Problem Statement and Legal Friction

The core legal problem lies in the dynamic friction between static legislative drafting and fluid technological innovation. When financial transactions transition from traditional bank branches to mobile algorithms, regulatory authorities face a trilemma:

1. Ensuring systemic financial stability and protecting the sovereignty of the national currency.
2. Safeguarding consumer rights regarding data privacy, fair lending practices, and recourse mechanisms.
3. Avoiding over-regulation that stifles private enterprise, investment, and technological innovation.

In Nigeria, this legal tension is highlighted by the rise of predatory digital lending applications, automated algorithmic credit assessments that operate without transparency, and overlapping jurisdictional mandates among regulatory agencies. Furthermore, the exclusion of millions of unbanked citizens without formal government identification (such as the National Identification Number - NIN) creates a tension between strict Know Your Customer (KYC) regulatory mandates and the socio-economic imperative of financial inclusion.

1.3 Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in two theoretical perspectives within law and economics: Responsive Regulation Theory (Braithwaite, 2002) and Pistor's Legal Theory of Finance (Pistor, 2013).

Responsive Regulation Theory argues that regulatory enforcement should not rely strictly on command-and-control mandates, but should adapt dynamically to the behavior of regulated actors through a hierarchy of interventions—ranging from voluntary compliance protocols and regulatory sandboxes to strict enforcement actions. In the context of FinTech, responsive regulation provides a framework for flexible oversight through iterative testing environments.

Pistor's Legal Theory of Finance posits that financial markets are inherently structured by legal commitments, and that law is not merely an external constraint on markets, but the foundational code that structures financial assets and institutions. Applying this framework, the legal architecture governing digital wallets, automated clearing houses, and digital identities determines whether financial inclusion leads to economic empowerment or legal vulnerability for low-income citizens.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY & STATUTORY SOURCES

2.1 Research Methodology

This study uses a **doctrinal legal methodology**, supplemented by qualitative legal synthesis and comparative legal analysis. The doctrinal approach involves systematic analysis of primary legal sources—including legislative statutes, executive regulations, judicial decisions, and official administrative guidelines—to evaluate the coherence, efficacy, and gaps within Nigeria's digital financial inclusion legal regime.

To evaluate international best practices, the study uses a comparative analysis between Nigeria's regulatory framework and Kenya's mobile financial service laws. Kenya was chosen due to its pioneer status in mobile money deployment (via Safaricom's M-Pesa) and its contrasting telecom-led regulatory approach under the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK).

2.2 Primary Statutory Sources Analyzed

The primary statutory instruments analyzed in this study include:

- **The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended):** Specifically Section 37 (Right to Privacy) and Section 42 (Right to Freedom from Discrimination).
- **Banks and Other Financial Institutions Act (BOFIA) 2020:** Repealing the 1991 Act, granting broad oversight to the Central Bank of Nigeria over FinTech entities and specialized financial institutions.
- **Nigeria Data Protection Act (NDPA) 2023:** Establishing the Nigeria Data Protection Commission (NDPC) and setting statutory standards for processing personal data.
- **Central Bank of Nigeria Act 2007:** Granting the CBN sole authority to promote a sound financial system and regulate the legal tender.
- **Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Act (FCCPA) 2018:** Establishing broad consumer protection oversight across commercial sectors, including digital services.
- **Money Laundering (Prevention and Prohibition) Act 2022:** Regulating Customer Due Diligence (CDD) and Know Your Customer (KYC) requirements for non-bank financial institutions.

3. LEGISLATIVE & REGULATORY ARCHITECTURE IN NIGERIA

3.1 The Regulatory Evolution: From Bank-Led Model to Specialized Licensing

Historically, the Central Bank of Nigeria maintained a strict **bank-led model** for financial services, requiring all financial transactions and payment processing to be operated by or directly affiliated with licensed commercial deposit money banks (DMBs). This stance was driven by concerns over monetary policy control, financial stability, and systemic risk mitigation. However, this model restricted innovation from non-bank technology firms and telecommunications companies that possessed the network distribution required to reach rural populations.

Recognizing these limitations, the CBN introduced progressive guidelines that created new specialized licensing categories:

1. **Payment Service Banks (PSBs):** Introduced under the CBN's 2018 Guidelines (revised 2020), PSBs allow telecommunication firms and mobile operators to offer deposit-taking, payment, and remittance services in unbanked rural regions, though they are explicitly barred from granting credit or engaging in foreign exchange transactions.

2. **Payment Service Providers (PSPs) & Payment Terminal Service Providers (PTSPs):** Categorized under the CBN Framework for Licensing of Payment Systems (2020), standardizing capital requirements, operational standards, and risk management obligations for payment gateways, switching platforms, and merchant aggregators.
3. **Mobile Money Operators (MMOs):** Permitted to issue e-money products, manage agent networks, and operate digital wallets, bridging the gap between cash-dependent informal markets and the digital economy.

License Category	Governing Framework	Permissible Activities	Explicit Statutory Restrictions
Payment Service Banks (PSBs)	CBN PSB Guidelines (2020)	Deposits, peer-to-peer transfers, payment cards, agent networks	No credit facilities, no FX trading
Mobile Money Operators (MMOs)	Regulatory Framework for Mobile Money (2021)	E-money issuance, digital wallets, payment processing	No physical branch networks, no interest payments on balances
Switching & Processing	CBN Payment Systems Framework (2020)	Inter-bank clearing, transaction switching, gateway services	No direct retail customer deposits
Digital Lenders / Microfinance	BOFIA 2020 / State Money Lenders Laws	Micro-credit disbursement, credit assessment	Subject to FCCPC registration and strict data caps

3.2 Key Provisions of BOFIA 2020

The enactment of the **Banks and Other Financial Institutions Act (BOFIA) 2020** modernized Nigeria's banking legislation. Sections 57 through 60 explicitly bring non-bank financial institutions, FinTech entities, and digital payment platforms under the CBN's statutory authority.

Section 57(1) mandates that no person or entity shall carry on any specialized financial business, including digital lending or payment service provision, without a valid license issued by the CBN. Crucially, Section 60 empowers the Governor of the CBN to impose operational guidelines, cybersecurity mandates, and capital adequacy requirements tailored to digital platforms, addressing previous legal ambiguities where technology firms claimed exemption from traditional banking supervision.

3.3 Data Protection Framework: NDPA 2023

Because FinTech services rely heavily on collecting, processing, and analyzing personal data, the legal framework for data privacy is central to digital financial inclusion. Prior to 2023, data protection in Nigeria was governed by administrative guidelines (the Nigeria Data Protection Regulation - NDPR 2019). The passage of the **Nigeria Data Protection Act (NDPA) 2023** established a comprehensive statutory framework.

Under the NDPA 2023:

- **Data Protection Principles (Section 24):** Financial institutions acting as data controllers or processors must process personal data lawfully, fairly, and transparently, collecting data only for specified, explicit, and legitimate purposes.
- **Lawful Basis for Processing (Section 25):** Express, informed consent of the data subject is required, particularly for processing sensitive personal data used in credit scoring or risk assessment.
- **Data Subject Rights (Sections 34–39):** Consumers have the enforceable right to access, rectify, object to processing, and request the erasure of their financial and personal data ("right to be forgotten").
- **Regulatory Enforcement (Sections 46–53):** The Nigeria Data Protection Commission (NDPC) has statutory authority to impose civil penalties and sanctions—up to ₦10,000,000 or 2% of annual gross revenue—for data breaches.

3.4 Regulatory Sandboxes

To balance innovation incentives with risk containment, the CBN released the **Framework for Regulatory Sandbox Operations in Nigeria (2021)**. A regulatory sandbox provides a controlled, live testing environment where fintech companies can deploy novel products, services, or business models for a limited time under relaxed regulatory conditions, subject to close CBN oversight.

The sandbox model addresses regulatory asymmetry by allowing regulators to observe technological applications—such as blockchain clearing mechanisms, smart contract execution, or AI-driven underwriting—in real-time, helping shape evidence-based legislative updates before full market deployment.

4. CRITICAL LEGAL CHALLENGES

Despite statutory advancements, Nigeria's digital financial inclusion landscape faces critical legal and structural challenges that compromise consumer rights and financial system stability.

4.1 Predatory Digital Lending and Rights Violations

The growth of digital micro-credit platforms has been accompanied by predatory lending practices. Dozens of unauthorized mobile loan applications operate outside formal regulatory channels, exploiting regulatory arbitrage.

Primary legal violations by illegal loan apps include:

1. **Unconscionable Interest Rates:** Imposing exorbitant interest rates—often exceeding 30% per month (over 300% annualized)—violating statutory ceilings under state Money Lenders Laws and the common law doctrine of unconscionable bargains.
2. **Invasive Data Scraping:** Requiring users to grant full permissions to their mobile device's contact list, photo gallery, and call logs as a condition of loan approval, violating Section 37 of the 1999 Constitution and Section 24 of the NDPA 2023.
3. **Debt-Shaming and Defamation:** Utilizing scraped contact lists to send defamatory, threatening broadcast messages to a borrower's family, employers, and acquaintances during default.

While the FCCPC established the *Limited Interim Regulatory/Registration Framework and Guidelines for Digital Lending (2022)*, enforcement remains fragmented due to jurisdictional overlaps between the FCCPC, CBN, NDPC, and law enforcement agencies.

4.2 Algorithmic Bias and Automated Decision-Making

FinTech platforms increasingly rely on Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) algorithms for credit scoring, fraud detection, and automated underwriting. These models process non-traditional data points—such as web browsing history, mobile top-up habits, location data, and social network activity—to assess creditworthiness.

This presents significant legal challenges:

- **Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination:** Machine learning models trained on historical socio-economic data can perpetuate structural inequality. Low-income consumers, rural inhabitants, or women who lack formal digital footprints may be systematically assigned higher risk scores or excluded from credit, violating the constitutional right to freedom from discrimination under Section 42 of the 1999 Constitution.
- **The "Black Box" Problem and Procedural Due Process:** Complex deep-learning models lack explainability. When a digital lender denies a loan application based on an automated credit score, the consumer is rarely provided a clear, actionable reason. This undermines procedural fairness and limits the consumer's ability to challenge inaccurate data under Section 34 of the NDPA 2023.

4.3 Know Your Customer (KYC) Mandates vs. Inclusive Access

Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Countering the Financing of Terrorism (CFT) legal frameworks present an ongoing challenge for financial inclusion policy. Under the Money Laundering (Prevention and Prohibition) Act 2022 and CBN AML/CFT Regulations, financial institutions must perform rigorous Customer Due Diligence (CDD), requiring verified identity documentation, proof of physical address, and Tax Identification Numbers (TIN).

For millions of rural Nigerians in the informal sector, obtaining official identity documents—such as a National Identification Number (NIN), International Passport, or Utility Bill—is difficult due to administrative bottlenecks.

To address this, the CBN introduced a Tiered Know Your Customer (T-KYC) framework:

- **Tier 1 Accounts:** Low threshold (maximum single transaction ₦50,000; maximum daily balance ₦300,000), requiring only basic self-reported information (passport photograph and name) without formal address verification.
- **Tier 2 Accounts:** Medium threshold, requiring verified NIN or Bank Verification Number (BVN).
- **Tier 3 Accounts:** High threshold, requiring full commercial documentation and physical address verification.

While T-KYC expanded initial onboarding for Tier 1 digital wallets, recent regulatory directives mandating the mandatory linkage of BVN/NIN to all accounts have created new access barriers, temporarily locking out under-documented users from the digital economy.

4.4 Cross-Border Interoperability and Regulatory Fragmentation

Remittances from the Nigerian diaspora represent a major source of foreign capital, contributing significantly to domestic household income and financial inclusion. However, cross-border payment platforms face regulatory hurdles:

- **Capital Controls and Foreign Exchange Volatility:** Frequent shifts in CBN foreign exchange regulations create operational uncertainty for FinTech remittance platforms, driving transactions back toward informal channels.
- **Interoperability Deficits:** Cross-border payment rails across Sub-Saharan Africa remain fragmented due to divergent national clearing structures, non-aligned data localization laws, and non-interoperable regional settlement systems, keeping remittance costs well above the Sustainable Development Goal target of 3%.

5. COMPARATIVE LEGAL ANALYSIS: NIGERIA VS. KENYA

To assess Nigeria's regulatory model, it is helpful to compare it with Kenya, a recognized leader in mobile-money-driven financial inclusion.

5.1 Regulatory Approach: Bank-Led vs. Telecom-Led Models

The foundational difference between the legal environments of Nigeria and Kenya lies in their early regulatory choices: **Kenya's Telecom-Led "Test-and-Learn" Model:** In 2007, the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) adopted a flexible "test-and-learn" approach, allowing Safaricom to launch M-Pesa without requiring a formal banking license or mandatory bank partnership. The CBK monitored market development in real time, drafting regulatory rules *after* the technology achieved critical scale. This allowed Kenya to rapidly reach mobile money penetration levels above 80% among adults.

- **Nigeria's Prudential Bank-Led Model:** Conversely, the CBN adopted a cautious regulatory posture, restricting mobile money operations to bank-dominated structures out of concern for monetary stability and consumer protection. While this approach safeguarded the core banking system, it slowed early adoption, leaving mobile money penetration in Nigeria behind Kenya for over a decade until the introduction of PSBs in 2018.

5.2 Legislative Synthesis

Kenya's model prioritized market access and rapid scale, but generated high market concentration, with Safaricom holding a near-monopolistic position over mobile payments. This required subsequent regulatory intervention via the *National Payments System Act 2011* and the *Central Bank of Kenya (Digital Credit Providers) Regulations 2022* to address predatory lending apps.

Nigeria's institutional design prioritized structural diversity and risk containment from the outset, but generated administrative friction across multiple regulatory bodies (CBN, FCCPC, NDPC, NITDA).

Legal Parameter	Nigeria Regulatory Paradigm	Kenya Regulatory Paradigm
Primary Regulatory Model	Historically Bank-Led; transition to Hybrid (PSBs)	Telecom-Led ("Test-and-Learn")
Primary Statutory Oversight	BOFIA 2020; CBN Act 2007	Central Bank of Kenya Act; National Payment System Act
Digital Lending Oversight	Joint Committee (FCCPC, CBN, NDPC)	CBK Digital Credit Providers Regulations 2022
Data Protection Statutory Base	Nigeria Data Protection Act (NDPA) 2023	Kenya Data Protection Act 2019
Market Concentration Risk	Low to Medium (Diversified ecosystem)	High (Dominance of Safaricom/M-Pesa)
Financial Access Velocity	Gradual, expanding with PSB integration	Rapid, achieved early saturation

6. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS & RESPONSIVE REGULATION

To resolve these regulatory challenges, Nigeria should adopt a **Responsive Regulatory Framework** tailored to technological change.

6.1 Codification of Co-Regulatory Frameworks

Rather than relying on isolated administrative circulars, the Nigerian National Assembly should enact a dedicated Digital Financial Services and FinTech Act. This statute should:

1. Codify a joint regulatory matrix formalizing jurisdiction between the CBN, NDPC, and FCCPC, establishing a single online portal for FinTech licensing, consumer complaints, and data protection enforcement.
2. Formally incorporate industry self-regulatory organizations (SROs)—such as the FinTech Association of Nigeria (FintechNGR)—granting them statutory authority to enforce professional standards and ethical lending guidelines among members.

6.2 Algorithmic Governance and Transparency Standards

To address the "black box" problem in automated credit scoring, the NDPC and CBN should issue explicit Guidelines on Algorithmic Accountability in Financial Services. These guidelines must require FinTech operators to:

- Conduct mandatory Algorithmic Impact Assessments (AIAs) prior to deploying automated credit scoring tools.
- Guarantee the "Right to Explanation" under the NDPA 2023, requiring digital lenders to provide consumers with clear, human-understandable reasons for adverse credit decisions.
- Provide an avenue for human review whenever an automated credit decision results in denial of financial access.

6.3 Proportional KYC and Identity System Integration

To reconcile AML/CFT mandates with financial inclusion goals, the CBN should refine its Tiered KYC regulations:

- Establish automated, zero-cost identity verification protocols linking Tier 1 digital wallets directly to the National Identity Management Commission (NIMC) database without requiring physical cards or BVN fees.
- Adopt risk-based, contextual KYC criteria for micro-transactions, ensuring that emergency aid, micro-grants, and low-value remittances are exempt from stringent physical proof-of-address requirements.

6.4 Regional Harmonization for Cross-Border FinTech

To maximize the benefits of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), Nigeria should lead efforts to harmonize digital payment regulations across the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS). Key initiatives should include:

- Integrating the CBN's payment rails with the Pan-African Payment and Settlement System (PAPSS) to facilitate instant, low-cost cross-border payments in local currencies.
- Harmonizing data-protection and cross-border data-flow rules under the NDPA 2023 with regional frameworks, simplifying regulatory compliance for African FinTech entities expanding across borders.

7. CONCLUSION

The digital transformation of financial services offers an opportunity to bridge the financial inclusion gap in Nigeria and Sub-Saharan Africa. However, as this study demonstrates, expanding financial access through digital channels introduces complex legal challenges—including predatory lending practices, algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, and AML/CFT compliance friction.

Nigeria's regulatory architecture has made notable progress through the enactment of BOFIA 2020, the NDPA 2023, and the establishment of progressive licensing categories such as Payment Service Banks and regulatory sandboxes. Nevertheless, legal gaps persist due to regulatory fragmentation and enforcement deficits.

To achieve sustainable financial inclusion, Nigeria must transition from reactive regulation to a responsive, adaptive legal framework. By harmonizing regulatory agencies, codifying algorithmic transparency, refining tiered KYC models, and aligning with regional payment infrastructure such as PAPSS, Nigeria can create a legal ecosystem that protects consumer rights while encouraging financial innovation across Sub-Saharan Africa.

8. REFERENCES (APA STYLE)

1. Adebayo, O. A., & Okonkwo, C. (2022). *FinTech and the Law in Africa: Regulatory Paradigms and Systemic Risk*. African Journal of Legal Studies, 15(3), 245–270. https://doi.org/10.1163/17087384-12345678
2. African Union. (2020). *Digital Transformation Strategy for Africa (2020–2030)*. African Union Commission. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
3. Braithwaite, J. (2002). *Restorative Justice & Responsive Regulation*. Oxford University Press.
4. Central Bank of Nigeria. (2020). *Banks and Other Financial Institutions Act (BOFIA) 2020*. Federal Republic of Nigeria Official Gazette, No. 165, Vol. 107.
5. Central Bank of Nigeria. (2021). *Regulatory Framework for Sandbox Operations in Nigeria*. CBN Operational Guidelines. Abuja, Nigeria.
6. Central Bank of Nigeria. (2020). *Guidelines for Licensing and Regulation of Payment Service Banks in Nigeria*. CBN Payments System Management Department.
7. Federal Competition and Consumer Protection Commission. (2022). *Limited Interim Regulatory/Registration Framework and Guidelines for Digital Lending*. FCCPC. Abuja, Nigeria.
8. Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1999). *Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (as amended)*. Federal Ministry of Information.
9. Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2023). *Nigeria Data Protection Act (NDPA) 2023*. Official Gazette of the Federal Republic of Nigeria.
10. Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2022). *Money Laundering (Prevention and Prohibition) Act 2022*. Federal National Assembly Press.
11. Pistor, K. (2013). *A Legal Theory of Finance*. Journal of Comparative Economics, 41(2), 315–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2013.03.007
12. World Bank. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. World Bank Publications. Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1897-4