



Akademik Eğitim ve Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi (AJESS Journal)

German Constitutional Dogmatics, Federalism, and Administrative Modernization

Prof. Dr. Karl von Hoffmann, Faculty of Law, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU) / Faculty of Law, Heidelberg University, Federal Republic of Germany
k.hoffmann@jura.uni-muenchen.de

Article History

Received: 23/06/2026; Accepted: 26/09/2026; Published: 08/10/2026

Abstract

This research paper provides an exhaustive critical evaluation of contemporary German public law, constitutional dogmatics, and federal administrative governance. Focusing on the Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany (Grundgesetz), the jurisprudence of the Federal Constitutional Court (Bundesverfassungsgericht), and cooperative federalism (Bund-Länder-Föderalismus), this study analyzes constitutional rights protection, proportionality review (Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip), and judicial oversight. Furthermore, it investigates administrative procedure reform under the Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz (VwVfG), digital public administration (Onlinezugangsgesetz), environmental jurisprudence, and European constitutional integration under the Solange doctrine.

Keywords: German public law ; digital public administration ; European constitutional.

1. Introduction:

German Public Law Traditions and Constitutional Dogmatics

The legal system of the Federal Republic of Germany represents one of the most structured and doctrinally refined constitutional models in modern public law, anchored in German legal science (*Rechtswissenschaft*), constitutional dogmatics (*Verfassungsdogmatik*), and administrative codification. Centred around historic legal centers such as the Faculty of Law at Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU Munich) and Heidelberg University, German public law scholarship has defined global standards for constitutional review, state theory (*Staatslehre*), and administrative justice.

The promulgation of the Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany (*Grundgesetz*) in 1949 established an enduring constitutional framework designed to secure human dignity (*Menschenwürde*), democratic constitutionalism (*Freiheitliche demokratische Grundordnung*), social market economy principles (*Sozialstaatsprinzip*), and a bound executive operating strictly under the rule of law (*Rechtsstaatsprinzip*).

This research paper undertakes an extensive inquiry into modern German public law developments, examining constitutional adjudication, federal legislative competence, administrative procedure reform, digital public administration, and European supranational cooperation.

2. Constitutional Adjudication and the Jurisprudence of the Bundesverfassungsgericht

The Federal Constitutional Court (*Bundesverfassungsgericht - BVerfG*), seated in Karlsruhe, serves as the supreme guardian of the German constitutional order, exercising binding authority over statutory constitutional review, constitutional complaints (*Verfassungsbeschwerde*), disputes between constitutional organs (*Organstreitverfahren*), and federal-state jurisdictional conflicts (*Normenkontrolle*).

German constitutional jurists analyze landmark rulings enforcing basic human rights guarantees under Article 1 through Article 19 of the Basic Law. The Court's constitutional methodology relies upon fundamental analytical steps, including scope of protection (*Schutzbereich*), governmental interference (*Eingriff*), and constitutional justification (*Verfassungsrechtliche Rechtfertigung*).

A cornerstone of German constitutional jurisprudence is the principle of proportionality (*Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip*), requiring that any state interference with fundamental rights must pursue a legitimate aim (*legitimer Zweck*) and be suitable

(*geeignet*), necessary (*erforderlich*), and proportional in the narrow sense (*angemessen / Verhältnismäßigkeit im engeren Sinne*).

Furthermore, the doctrine of 'objective order of values' (*Objektive Wertordnung*) ensures that fundamental rights permeate all areas of private and public law, exerting an indirect horizontal effect (*Mittelbare Drittwirkung*) across judicial proceedings.

3. Cooperative Federalism, Legislative Competence, and Bund-Länder Relations

Federalism (*Föderalismus*) constitutes an immutable structural element of the German constitutional identity, protected against constitutional amendment by the eternity clause (*Ewigkeitsklausel*) of Article 79(3) of the Basic Law.

Scholars at LMU Munich and Heidelberg examine the constitutional distribution of legislative power between the Federation (*Bund*) and the sixteen Federal States (*Länder*) under Articles 70 through 74. Legislative power is divided into exclusive federal legislation (*Ausschließliche Gesetzgebung*), concurrent legislation (*Konkurrierende Gesetzgebung*), and state regulatory autonomy.

The Federal Council (*Bundesrat*) acts as the constitutional organ representing state governments, ensuring that federal legislation affecting state administrative execution or fiscal distribution receives necessary consent (*Zustimmungsgesetze*).

This system of cooperative federalism (*Kooperativer Föderalismus*) balances regional political diversity with national administrative uniformity across the Federal Republic.

4. Administrative Procedure Codification (VwVfG) and Judicial Remedies

German administrative law is structured around codification, notably the Administrative Procedure Act (*Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz - VwVfG*) and the Code of Administrative Court Procedure (*Verwaltungsgerichtsordnung - VwGO*).

Legal scholars evaluate the central administrative instrument: the individual administrative act (*Verwaltungsakt - § 35 VwVfG*), which embodies formal state authority affecting citizen rights.

Administrative court jurisdiction is exercised through specialized three-tier administrative courts (*Verwaltungsgerichte*), ensuring robust judicial protection against unlawful administrative measures through actions for annulment (*Anfechtungsklage*), mandatory injunctions (*Verpflichtungsklage*), and declaratory judgments.

These statutory safeguards guarantee procedural fairness, administrative transparency, and citizen access to independent legal remedies.

5. Digital Governance, AI Integration, and the Onlinezugangsgesetz (OZG)

The modernization of German public administration has entered a transformative phase through digital public services, statutory e-government frameworks, and electronic registry management.

Public law researchers analyze the statutory implementation of the Online Access Act (*Onlinezugangsgesetz - OZG*), requiring federal, state, and municipal authorities to offer administrative services digitally through interconnected government portals.

Special attention is devoted to data protection compliance under the European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Federal Data Protection Act (*Bundesdatenschutzgesetz - BDSG*), ensuring automated administrative decision-making preserves data sovereignty, transparency, and fundamental individual rights.

6. Environmental Constitutionalism, Climate Adjudication, and Sustainability

Environmental protection is established as a state goal (*Staatsziel*) under Article 20a of the Basic Law, obligating the state to protect the natural foundations of life and animals for future generations.

In its historic Climate Ruling (*Klimabeschluss - 2021*), the Federal Constitutional Court established that fundamental constitutional rights impose an affirmative obligation on the legislature to prevent intergenerational burdens caused by climate policy delays.

This landmark decision expanded constitutional protection into future timeframes (*Intertemporale Freiheitssicherung*), setting international precedents for climate jurisprudence and environmental administrative law.

7. European Constitutional Integration and the Solange & Identity Doctrines

Germany's participation in European Union integration is constitutionally grounded in Article 23 of the Basic Law (*Europa-Artikel*), enabling the transfer of sovereign powers while safeguarding fundamental constitutional guarantees.

The Federal Constitutional Court has formulated a sophisticated jurisprudence governing EU legal supremacy, beginning with the historic *Solange* doctrines, which established that as long as EU law guarantees fundamental rights protection substantially comparable to the Basic Law, the Court will not review derivative EU acts.

Additionally, the Court exercises constitutional oversight through identity review (*Identitätskontrolle*) and *ultra vires* review, ensuring that EU legal acts do not violate core principles of constitutional identity under Article 79(3) or exceed delegated EU competencies.

8. Economic Public Law, Social State Guarantees, and Public Sector Procurement

The economic constitutional order of the Federal Republic synthesizes social state guarantees (*Sozialstaatsprinzip*) with free market principles, forming the social market economy (*Soziale Marktwirtschaft*).

Public economic law scholars examine statutory public procurement rules (*Vergaberecht*), state aid regulations, and public services of general interest (*Daseinsvorsorge*).

These legal mechanisms ensure fair market competition, social equity, energy security, and essential infrastructure provision across urban and rural regions.

9. Future Trajectories in German Public Law and Comparative Governance

As Germany navigates global energy transitions, digital transformations, and European security shifts, legal research focuses on constitutional resilience, emergency administrative frameworks, and statutory flexibility.

German legal dogmatics continues to lead international comparative law discussions, providing methodical legal frameworks that harmonize strict statutory codification with dynamic constitutional protection.

These developments ensure the German constitutional order remains a resilient model of democratic constitutionalism and administrative efficacy.

10. Conclusion: The German Constitutional Paradigm in Global Jurisprudence

The study of German constitutional dogmatics, federal administrative law, and European integration demonstrates an exceptionally rigorous synthesis of constitutional supremacy, judicial protection, and administrative efficiency.

By integrating constitutional review by the Bundesverfassungsgericht, cooperative federalism, procedural administrative guarantees, and forward-looking environmental and digital governance, German public law offers fundamental insights for comparative constitutionalism.

Ultimately, the German paradigm proves that a democratic state based on the rule of law flourishes when backed by robust constitutional adjudication, procedural precision, and unwavering commitment to human dignity.

References

- Badura, P. (2021). *Staatsrecht: Systematische Erläuterung des Grundgesetzes* (7. Aufl.). C.H. Beck.
- Hoffmann, K. (2025). *Verfassungsdogmatik, Föderalismus und Digitalisierung der Verwaltung in Deutschland*. *JuristenZeitung (JZ)*, 80(3), 115-168.
- Jarass, H. D., & Pieroth, B. (2022). *Grundgesetz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland: Kommentar* (17. Aufl.). C.H. Beck.
- Maunz, T., & Dürig, G. (2023). *Grundgesetz-Kommentar* (99. Lfg.). C.H. Beck.
- Maurer, H., & Waldhoff, C. (2020). *Allgemeines Verwaltungsrecht* (20. Aufl.). C.H. Beck.
- Schenke, W. R. (2021). *Verwaltungsprozessrecht* (17. Aufl.). C.H. Beck.