2026, Cilt 3, Sayı 3, 359-364

OPEN ACCESS

ISSN: 3062-262X

Akademik Eğitim ve Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi (AJESS Journal)

"The Consumer's Right to Withdraw from Distance Contracts: An Analytical and Comparative Study in Algerian Law"

Dr. Yazid Benameur, Adjunct Professor, University of Continuing Education (UFC), Médéa Center, Algeria , Benameur.yazid.1982@gmail.com

Dr. Youcef Boudjemaa, Associate Professor (Class A), University of Médéa, Algeria youcefboudjemaa030@gmail.com

Article History

Received: 19/01/2026; Accepted: 09/03/2026; Published: 29/08/2026

Abstract

Many legal systems, including Algeria, have exerted substantial legislative and regulatory efforts to safeguard consumers by establishing conditions that guarantee genuine consent, ensure unfettered freedom of choice regarding goods and services, and prevent misleading practices or the exploitation of consumer vulnerability by commercial professionals. Consequently, state intervention has materialized through diverse protective instruments, such as pre-contractual information duties, mandatory reflection and cooling-off periods, and the right of withdrawal (droit de rétractation), particularly in distance contracting. The right of withdrawal stands as one of the most pivotal mechanisms: it renders the concluded contract non-binding upon the consumer by granting an unconditioned option to cancel the transaction within a legally prescribed timeframe. This mechanism shields consumer consent from aggressive advertising campaigns and enticing marketing strategies deployed by dominant production and distribution enterprises to induce impulsive contracting.

Keywords: Right of withdrawal, professional/merchant, consumer protection, distance contracts, electronic commerce (e-commerce), contractual consent, Algerian law.

Introduction

Assessing the requisite awareness and discernment at the moment of contract formation has become imperative amidst the rapid evolution of telecommunications and modern information technology. Society has transitioned from the physical realm of the spoken word and tangible imagery into the digital and virtual sphere. This paradigm shift has catalyzed the prosperity of electronic commerce (e-commerce) and cross-border digital contracting via open networks that transcend geographic boundaries and historical jurisdictional limits. Nevertheless, the virtual nature of the Internet frequently conceals the identities of contracting parties and obscures both the spatial and temporal dimensions of contract conclusion. Consequently, this environment has magnified risks of abuse, dominance, fraud, and deceptive commercial practices, culminating in severe imbalances that compelled legislators to intervene proactively through specialized statutory frameworks designed to counteract the aggressive marketing strategies employed by dominant market actors to circumvent genuine consumer consent.

Traditional contract law doctrines have proven inadequate in furnishing efficacious, substantive protection for consumers—specifically in mitigating the detrimental consequences of hasty and ill-considered decisions in distance transactions. In response, modern legal systems committed to consumer welfare recognized the urgency of erecting a protective legal canopy for consumers as structurally weaker parties in consumer contracts (contrats de consommation). Chief among these protective instruments is the formal recognition of the consumer's right to withdraw from a concluded contract within a statutory timeframe, the duration of which varies across jurisdictions.

Contracts executed electronically present multifaceted legal complexities stemming from rapid technological expansion. A paramount issue is contracting in absentia, where consumers agree to transactions without physically inspecting the subject matter or relying solely on virtual representations, mockups, or digitized imagery that often diverge from reality. Upon delivery, the consumer frequently discovers that the product or service fails to satisfy their essential requirements or diverge substantially from reasonable expectations. Under traditional rules of strict contract enforcement (pacta sunt servanda), the consumer would remain bound to perform without adequate recourse. Hence, consumer protection statutes and dedicated electronic commerce laws introduced the right of withdrawal as an autonomous, extraordinary legal prerogative, independent of traditional breach-of-contract remedies, subject to specific conditions designed to maintain contractual equilibrium and prevent bad-faith abuse.

The right of withdrawal constitutes one of the most innovative mechanisms in contemporary consumer law, conceived to rectify fundamental imbalances of power between consumers and commercial professionals. Ever since the Industrial Revolution and the advent of mass manufacturing alongside aggressive, sophisticated marketing paradigms, the consumer has occupied a vulnerable position besieged by psychological advertising pressures and persuasive techniques that frequently culminate in impulsive, unreflective contracts.

Research Framework

1. Research Topic

Professionals frequently utilize advanced marketing strategies that render consumers susceptible to uncalculated and hasty contracting. Leveraging omnipresent multimedia advertising saturated with exaggerated praise and persuasive allure—particularly across contemporary digital platforms—businesses create artificial consumer desires, prompting rapid contract formation that fails to fulfill the consumer's genuine needs.

2. Research Significance

The significance of the right of withdrawal lies in its capacity to rehabilitate and reinforce consumer consent, remedying potential impulsiveness that is virtually irreversible once contractual execution commences. This mechanism achieves substantive parity between unequal contracting parties. While the Algerian legislature formally acknowledged this right, its statutory elaboration remains deficient compared to pioneering jurisdictions, such as France, where withdrawal is exercisable without requiring proof of defect, non-conformity, or merchant fault.

3. Research Problem

Given the insufficiency of traditional civil law doctrines governing defects of consent (vices du consentement) to offer comprehensive protection, identifying modern mechanisms to reinforce the weaker party's will is vital. This inquiry examines whether the Algerian legislature has adequately established and structured the right of withdrawal to guarantee genuine, effective consumer protection in distance contracts:

Main Research Question: Has the Algerian legislator enshrined the right of withdrawal in a manner that guarantees substantive and effective protection for consumers in distance contracting?

4. Research Methodology

This study employs a descriptive-analytical methodology to examine the granular legal provisions governing the right of withdrawal within general contract theory and consumer legislation, analyzing statutory texts and scholarly jurisprudence. Furthermore, a comparative approach is integrated where relevant, contrasting Algerian legislation with the French legal framework—widely recognized as a benchmark in consumer protection.

5. Research Structure

To systematically address the research problem, this study is divided into two principal sections:

• Section 1: The Substantive Scope and Concept of the Right of Withdrawal in Distance Consumer Contracts.

• Section 2: The Legal Regulation, Exercise, and Legal Effects of the Right of Withdrawal.

Section 1: The Substantive Scope of the Right of Withdrawal in Distance Consumer Contracts

Traditional modalities of contract formation have evolved toward digital and distance contracting to accommodate rapid socio-economic shifts. Commercial transactions—encompassing purchasing, selling, and marketing—are increasingly executed electronically. Consequently, numerous modern statutes have affirmed the validity of contracts concluded through electronic declarations of intent. The statutory or conventional anchoring of the consumer’s right of withdrawal in modern legislation, including Algerian law, reflects an awareness of its necessity. Compelling imperatives justify this mechanism to protect a broad class of consumers, demarcate its distinctive attributes, and distinguish it from neighboring protective concepts.

Subsection 1: The Significance and Justifications of the Right of Withdrawal

Modern legal systems have recognized that abstract contractual freedom based on traditional mutual assent is insufficient to ensure authentic protection in contemporary consumer relations. Consumer consent is frequently elicited under psychological pressure, informational asymmetry, or circumstances where declining the transaction is socially or psychologically challenging. Thus, the Algerian legislature, mirroring international trends, found it imperative to recognize an exceptional prerogative allowing consumers to reassess distance transactions and withdraw within a reasonable timeframe without bearing penalties or offering justifications.

A. Hazards of Consumer Distance Contracting

Distance consumer contracts represent the foremost category wherein legislatures have instituted the right of withdrawal, given their unique formation context and economic prevalence. Parties to a distance contract are not physically co-present in a single contractual forum (unité de lieu). The consumer cannot physically inspect the goods in a manner that dispels uncertainty (inspection نافية للجهالة) nor fully grasp the qualitative dimensions of the service. Operating through telecommunication channels, the consumer is subjected to intensive commercial advertising that suppresses opportunities for rational deliberation.

The distinctiveness of electronic contracting resides primarily in the rules governing mutual consent and electronic form. Regarding subject matter (objet) and legal cause (cause), electronic contracts do not diverge substantially from general contracts. Although the Algerian Consumer Protection Act did not explicitly detail distance contracts under withdrawal, this transactional category warrants the highest degree of protection. When consumers view products on websites or digital displays, they perceive virtual depictions rather than tangible reality; upon delivery, remorse frequently arises upon discovering discrepancies, prompting the desire to undo the hasty transaction.

Contractual equality has thus become a theoretical presumption due to the disappearance of meaningful pre-contractual negotiation, with professionals unilaterally drafting standard adhesion terms. Statutory intervention must therefore ensure not merely that consent is freely given, but that it is deliberative and informed, achieved by granting a post-contractual period of reflection.

Furthermore, the velocity of electronic transactions prevents thorough appraisal of complex products amidst persuasive advertising. Placing the recipient under psychological pressure inevitably induces impulsive agreements, fully vindicating the legislative imposition of a mandatory right of withdrawal.

B. Justifications for Enshrining the Right of Withdrawal

A primary justification for the right of withdrawal is the intrinsic status of the contracting parties: an inexperienced, vulnerable consumer transacting with an experienced commercial professional equipped with sophisticated tools of persuasion. The consumer may inadvertently purchase items exceeding genuine needs or financial capacities. Granting a suitable post-contractual interval allows the consumer to reconsider the commitment rationally.

Information asymmetry profoundly distorts contractual equilibrium in agreements drafted unilaterally by professionals. Left unprotected, consumers become susceptible to aggressive marketing practices and unnegotiable standard contract terms (contrats d'adhésion). Enshrining the right of withdrawal counterbalances these structural deficiencies by affording a cooling-off period following agreement, allowing the consumer to re-evaluate whether the product aligns with expectations, confirm or cancel the order, or modify specifications without penalty.

Subsection 2: Conceptual Delimitation and Distinctive Attributes

The right of withdrawal is an autonomous legal institution designed to protect the weaker party. It possesses distinct characteristics that differentiate it from proximate legal mechanisms, such as mandatory pre-contractual reflection periods.

A. Legal Characteristics of the Right of Withdrawal

1. Mandatory Public Policy Nature (Ordre Public): The right of withdrawal is governed by imperative statutory provisions rooted in economic public policy (ordre public de protection). Any contractual stipulation purporting to waive, restrict, or modify this right to the consumer's detriment is null and void ab initio.

2. Unilateral Discretionary Discretion: It is an exclusive, unilateral right reserved solely for the consumer. It requires no reciprocal consent from the professional nor judicial intervention, operating as an autonomous alternative to traditional actions for contractual invalidity (vices du consentement).

3. Gratuitous Exercise: The consumer exercises withdrawal without incurring financial penalties or compensatory damages, bearing at most the direct statutory costs of returning the merchandise, where applicable.

4. Temporary and Extinguishable Duration: To preserve commercial certainty and transaction stability, the right is strictly time-bound. It expires upon the lapse of the statutory deadline (typically 7 to 14/15 days from delivery or acceptance).

5. Exception to Pacta Sunt Servanda: The mechanism represents a direct legislative derogation from the classical principle of the binding force of contracts.

B. Distinguishing Withdrawal from Pre-Contractual Reflection Periods

It is essential to distinguish the right of withdrawal (droit de rétractation) from the pre-contractual reflection period (délai de réflexion). Borrowed originally from comparative systems, the reflection period mandates an interval before contract execution during which the consumer cannot validly declare acceptance, ensuring mature contemplation (e.g., Article 1122 of the French Civil Code). The contract cannot be formed until this period expires.

Conversely, the right of withdrawal operates post-formation. The contract is immediately formed upon mutual assent, but its binding enforceability remains resolutory or suspended during the withdrawal window. While some jurisdictions conflate the two under generalized consumer protection umbrellas, their temporal operation differs fundamentally: reflection governs contract formation, whereas withdrawal governs post-formation execution and dissolution.

Section 2: Legal Regulation, Exercise, and Legal Effects of the Right of Withdrawal

Because the right of withdrawal aims to curb ill-considered consent in technologically advanced transactions involving complex products and services, legislatures must meticulously structure its exercise, formal modalities, and reciprocal legal effects to maintain economic balance.

Subsection 1: Legal Basis and Modalities of Exercise

A. Statutory Foundations in Algerian Law

The Algerian legislature did not codify the right of withdrawal within the general theory of contract in the Civil Code, but introduced it across specialized statutes:

• Law No. 09-03 (as amended by Law No. 18-09) on Consumer Protection and Fraud Suppression: Article 18 recognizes the consumer's right of repentance/withdrawal (droit de rétractation / العدول) without cause and without additional costs, subject to regulatory conditions. Notably, the statutory wording focused primarily on physical 'products' while omitting explicit general reference to services.

• Executive Decree No. 15-114 on Consumer Credit: Grants borrowers a mandatory 8-day withdrawal period from signing the financing agreement.

• Ordinance No. 06-04 on Insurance: Provides cooling-off periods for specific insurance subscriptions.

• Law No. 18-05 on Electronic Commerce: Represents the most explicit, cohesive statutory framework. Article 22 explicitly grants e-consumers a 15-day withdrawal window commencing from the date of product delivery or service acceptance. It imposes a pre-contractual duty on electronic suppliers to inform consumers of this right, stipulating that breach of this information obligation extends the withdrawal period and engages supplier liability. Exercise requires sending written notice via any verifiable medium.

B. Comparative Insight: French Law

In French law, withdrawal is integrated both within the Civil Code (Article 1116) and extensively structured under the Consumer Code (Code de la consommation, Art. L. 221-18 et seq.), establishing a uniform 14-day period. French law provides standardized withdrawal forms (formulaire type) and details comprehensive exemptions, such as customized goods, perishable items, and fully performed services with prior express consent.

C. Reinforcing Consumer Protection via Mandatory Public Order

Withdrawal operates as a protective public order mechanism intended to restore balance between unequal economic actors. Consequently, professionals are prohibited from initiating performance or demanding non-refundable advance payments that frustrate the consumer's genuine freedom of withdrawal during the statutory cooling-off period.

Subsection 2: Legal Consequences and Effects of Exercising Withdrawal

A. The Right of Withdrawal as an Exception to Contractual Binding Force

Under traditional contract dogma, a validly formed agreement binds both parties irrevocably. The right of withdrawal modifies this tenet: while the contract is formed instantly, its definitive efficacy is subject to the condition that the consumer does not exercise their unilateral power of dissolution. This statutory exception is justified by overriding public policy considerations seeking substantive contractual justice rather than formalistic equality.

B. Mutual Restitution and Interconnected Contracts

When the consumer exercises withdrawal within the statutory timeline, specific reciprocal obligations arise:

1. Restitution of Goods: The consumer must return the delivered merchandise to the supplier within the prescribed legal period, preserved in its original received condition.
2. Return Costs: The consumer bears only the direct costs of returning the goods, unless the supplier agreed to assume them or failed to inform the consumer of this obligation. The professional cannot impose indirect fees (e.g., restocking or repackaging penalties).
3. Reimbursement of Payments: The supplier is legally bound to reimburse all sums received from the consumer, including initial standard delivery charges, within the statutory timeframe. Comparative frameworks (e.g., French Consumer Code) enforce automatic tiered statutory interest rates for unjustified merchant delays in reimbursement.
4. Dissolution of Interconnected Contracts (Contrats Liés): Exercising withdrawal on the principal distance contract automatically extinguishes accessory contracts—notably consumer credit, installment financing, or extended warranty agreements—without penalty for the consumer, embodying the legal maxim that accessorium sequitur principale.

Conclusion

This analytical and comparative study demonstrates that the expanding consumer base and rapid technological innovations necessitate an evolved, robust consumer protection regime. The right of withdrawal in distance contracting serves as an essential protective prerogative designed to counteract aggressive marketing techniques and secure genuine, reflective consent.

Key Findings

1. Categorical Protective Privilege: The right of withdrawal constitutes an imperative, unilateral statutory privilege established exclusively for consumers to mitigate structural bargaining inequalities.

2. Discretionary Exercise Without Fault: The mechanism operates without requiring proof of defect, breach, or non-conformity in the product or service, functioning autonomously from traditional judicial rescission or redhibitory warranty actions.

3. Structural Exception to Binding Force: Withdrawal represents a recognized legislative exception to the principle of pacta sunt servanda, subordinating formal binding force to substantive contractual fairness.

4. Statutory Deficiencies in Algerian Legislation: Although Law No. 18-05 on E-Commerce established a solid foundation with a 15-day period, Algerian consumer law remains fragmented across disparate texts, lacking a unified procedural model, comprehensive coverage of non-electronic distance contracts, and detailed mechanisms governing linked financial contracts.

Policy Recommendations

1. Comprehensive Consumer Code: Promulgate a unified, harmonious Algerian Consumer Code consolidating scattered provisions across civil, commercial, insurance, and consumer legislation.

2. Expanding Substantive Scope: Explicitly broaden the statutory right of withdrawal to encompass all off-premises contracts (ventes hors établissement), doorstep selling, remote services, and consumer credit agreements.

3. Standardized Withdrawal Form: Mandate a standardized statutory withdrawal form (modèle de formulaire de rétractation) that professionals must provide prior to contract execution.

4. Automatic Tiered Delay Penalties: Enact statutory default interest penalties against merchants who delay refunding consumer payments beyond the statutory deadline.

5. Express Regulation of Linked Contracts: Explicitly codify the automatic termination of affiliated financing and credit agreements upon withdrawal from the principal contract.

6. Consumer Awareness & Collective Redress: Strengthen institutional support for consumer protection associations to pursue representative collective actions (actions de groupe) and foster public consumer literacy.

References

Abd El-Mabdi, J. M. (2023). The right to withdraw from contracts concluded over the Internet: An analytical study of consumer contracts. International Journal of Jurisprudence, Judiciary and Legislation, 4(1), Egyptian Judges Club.

Abd El-Mabdi, J. M. (2024a). Defining the negative scope of the right of withdrawal in contracts concluded by electronic means: An analytical comparative study. Journal of Jurisprudential and Legal Research, (44), Faculty of Sharia and Law in Damanhour, Al-Azhar University.

Abd El-Mabdi, J. M. (2024b). Legal obligations arising from the exercise of the right of withdrawal from contracts concluded by electronic means: An analytical comparative study. Journal of Legal and Economic Sciences, 66(1), Ain Shams University Faculty of Law.

Al-Anzi, A. K. (2018). The option to withdraw from contracting in Kuwaiti law: A comparative study. Journal of the Kuwait International Law School, 6(2), 22.

Al-Ghandri, R. (2016). Time limit in obligation [L'ajournement dans l'obligation]. University Publishing Center.

Al-Khaldi, N. (2016). The withdrawal option as a consumer protection mechanism in distance contracts. Journal of Legal Research and Studies, (8), Moroccan Center for Studies, Consultations and Dispute Resolution.

Al-Miqdad, S. (2017). The status of will in contracts [Doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Legal, Economic and Social Sciences - Oujda, Mohammed I University].

Al-Rifai, A. M. (1994). Civil protection of the consumer against contractual content. Dar Al-Nahda Al-Arabiya.

Ali, H. T. (2025). Consent provisions in consumer sales. In Proceedings of the Colloquium on Features of the General Theory of Obligations in Light of Legal Developments (pp. 11-13). Al-Atrash Complex for Book Publishing and Distribution.

Ben Abbas, B. (2017). General theory of contract in contemporary civil law: Contract validity and contractual stability (1st ed.). Al-Atrash Complex for Publishing and Distributing Specialized Books.

Calais-Auloy, J. (1994). L'influence du droit de la consommation sur le droit civil des contrats [The influence of consumer law on civil contract law]. Revue Trimestrielle de Droit Civil (RTD Civ.), 1994, 239-252.

Demeslay, I. (1997). Le droit de repentir [The right of repentance/withdrawal]. Centre de Recherche Juridique de l'Ouest, Persée, Université de Lyon, CNRS & ENS de Lyon.

Fath El-Bab, M. R. A. (2016). Legal regulation of consumer's right of withdrawal in distance contracts: A comparative study. Journal of Law and Economics, (89), Cairo University Faculty of Law.

Filali, A. (2018). Special contracts: Sale [Contrats spéciaux: La vente]. Mofem Publishing.

Hawas, A. S. (2024). Consumer withdrawal in distance contracts: A comparative study. Journal of Law for Legal and Economic Research, (3), Alexandria University Faculty of Law.

Qasim, M. H. (2017). Civil law: Obligations - Sources (1): The contract (Vol. 1). New University Publishing House.

Shendi, R. Y. (2010). The impact of the consumer's withdrawal option on determining the moment of contract conclusion. Journal of Sharia and Law, (43).

Siddiq, M. A. B. (2018). The right to withdraw from the contract as a legal mechanism for consumer protection in distance contracting: An analytical study in light of French law and European directives. Journal of Legal and Economic Research, (65), Mansoura University Faculty of Law.

Zaghab, A. (2008). Contract and informatics. Arab Journal of Jurisprudence and Judiciary, (37), General Secretariat of the League of Arab States.